

# The Consequences of “Women's Liberation”

Pastor David Ministries

Ezekiel 34:10, 23

[www.pastordavidministries.com](http://www.pastordavidministries.com)

**Revised  
January 2026**

When the Bible says in 1Cor. 11:3 “..... the head of the woman is the man .....” **KJV**, it is referring to every aspect of life. Before the “women’s liberation” (feminism) movement in the 1960’s, men were in charge of almost everything that concerned the general public, in the US. Women did, however, supervise children or other women (usually under a higher supervisor man's oversight). Many businesses used to divide the laborers into sections, a woman's section with a female supervisor and a man's section with a male supervisor..... And there was another male supervisor over everything. For example: in the typical supermarket, every cashier was a woman, with a woman supervisor. Every stock person carrying heavy boxes and reloading the shelves was a man, with a male supervisor. And one male general manager over all of it.

During the 1960's most people referred to the new changes in society as: the “women's liberation” movement. Now-a-days, most people prefer to call it the “feminist” movement, because they don't like the sound of the old title. However, the new title “feminist” is really misleading, because it is not the propagation of femininity, but rather, it is the training of women to take on what was previously considered to be the masculine role. (“previously considered” means that people have now gotten accustomed to the “new” roles for men and women, thereby rendering the changes as no longer “new”.) It is the suppression of femininity for women and the disgrace of manliness for men. It trains women to act like men, and men to act like women. But amazingly, most men treat it as something “noble” (helping women to advance)..... which it is not. It is freak perversion, turning women into men, and men into women.

If women are not permitted to take on the leadership roles that previously were only given to men, the person responsible for preventing this “progress” for women is falsely accused as though he is abusing women. However, preventing this false “progress” for women is **not** any more abusive than to prevent uneducated people or children to take on those leadership roles. Women are not the same as men, and should not be treated as men. It is **NOT** in any way abusive to women to prevent them from being in a man’s position. And, it is **NOT** normal for a woman to be the boss of a man.

The word “abusive” must be more clearly defined. If women are prohibited from being leaders of men, it is **not** abusiveness to women. It is not the least bit harmful for a woman to be denied leadership over men. Is it harmful (abusive) for an uneducated

person to be denied leadership positions just because they're uneducated? The uneducated laborers who earn reasonable wages are usually happier in life than the business managers, professional people or politicians. Being a leader is not where happiness comes from. (In fact, many leadership positions, especially in business management, have a certain unpleasantness about them that make everyone not want them, men or women. The only reason those businesses can get anyone to take those positions is that they pay well.) Deep down true happiness is a gift from God that most people have missed out on, usually because they're more in love with money than with God. Then, when they find out that lots of money doesn't make them happy, they don't know what to do.

Please, try to imagine for a moment, the same principles of the "women's liberation" movement being applied to the work place. Try to imagine an "employee's liberation" movement being applied to the work place the same way that the "women's liberation" movement has already been applied to women, at work, management/professional opportunities, politics and family life.

Notice that, at the beginning, the "women's liberation" movement started by claiming that women were being abused by not permitting them to excel to higher levels of management. But, even now, since at times, there really does exist some employers who are abusive to their employees, try to imagine new employee labor laws prohibiting bosses from giving orders to employees. Generally speaking, how would most business owners or managers react to such incredible craziness?

Doesn't everyone know that this could only produce uncontrollable chaos in the work place, with everyone doing whatever they felt like doing or most of the employees doing nothing at all? What would those bosses say while they were watching their businesses uncontrollably collapse? Obviously, any business owner in such a crazy situation would have a very unpleasant reaction. He might even have a heart attack or a nervous breakdown. But, in most cases he would probably react with hostility. Thus, the transitional period of the "women's liberation" movement during the 60's and 70's had a reputation of hostility, which did not exist before it or after it.

After "feminism" became common place, most marriages couldn't work out, men stopped being hostile toward those changes because it was just assumed that life-long marriages were unattainable. So, they got accustomed to the new way of life which no longer seemed new anymore. And so, the hostility stopped. They were hostile at first because they knew that the changes due to "feminism" were going to ruin things. But after they got used to it, the hostility stopped. And now that so much time has passed, nobody can figure out that it was "feminism" that caused all the problems. It is now "**taboo**" even to speak against "feminism". Anyone who speaks against it is labeled as a villain.

In addition, it ought to be noticed that many men, when faced with the present lunatic arrangement now being forced on men in everyday life, (especially family life) similar to this imaginary crazy "employee's liberation" movement, usually react nega-

tively typically, not with hostility any more, but by shunning any responsibility or leadership for the modern irrational expectations forced on men. The modern feminist movement forces men into the same lunatic leadership role as a business manager in an “employee's liberation” movement. (An “employee's liberation” movement could never be implemented without causing a big fat disaster as a result. Why is this so well understood in business, but it is not understood that the “women's liberation” movement has already caused many big fat disasters?)

Managers are required to lead, but in the case of husbands, they are denied the authority to give orders. If a woman is not free to do whatever she wants, then the husband is labeled as a tyrant as though he is abusing her. He is regarded as a villain if he actually attempts to do any leading, and at the same time, when he has no say in anything, treated as being responsible for every problem that exists. They talk about every problem that exists as an obvious result of mismanagement, while he has no say at all in managing anything. It is the wife who is now the manager of the marriage.... and yet, any problem due to mismanagement is never blamed on her. Any problem is blamed on the husband who has no authority whatsoever.

He is accused of being a tyrant if he attempts to actually do any leadership at all. And, when he doesn't lead, he is accused of being responsible for the negative results of the absence of proper management. This is craziness that causes mental illness. And, when the man abandons this craziness and walks out, he is then accused of being “irresponsible” or “dead-beat” husbands and fathers. Most particularly, in modern society, more and more women perceive every problem under the sun to be the fault of the men.

No business could function under such lunatic conditions. But, somehow men are expected to take on the responsibility of leadership, yet denied the authority that goes along with the package. How could a business manager be responsible for the chaos caused by out-of-control employees? Consequently, in everyday life, many men abandon this type of lunacy. Then they are accused of being “irresponsible”, especially in family life. In reality, they're not being “irresponsible”. They are abandoning this lunacy. This type of lunacy ought to be abandoned. It causes mental illness. Now that mental illness and divorce have become an epidemic in the US, how come nobody seems to know why? The psychologists can't even figure out why. It's as simple as understanding the problems an “employee's liberation” movement would cause, yet they can't figure it out, because it is now **taboo** to say anything against “feminism”.

Unfortunately, when children are involved, it appears as though the father is abandoning his children. The children usually don't know that the government has given the mother the authority to charge outrageous quantities of money they call “child support”, which is usually so much money that the man couldn't pay it even if he wanted to. Most men in America are already close to the point of bankruptcy just trying to make one mortgage payment. How could they possibly make two mortgage payments? If he tried to pay it all, he would end up bankrupt and living on the street. Then, in most cases, when the father doesn't pay, he ends up looking like some sort of

irresponsible “dead-beat” who won’t even visit his children. If he did visit his children, he would end up in prison, because there’s no way he could possibly pay all that money. Notice that he also has to pay for the first original living quarters for the children to live in, hoping that the children will return to live with him some day. (See article listed below on: Fatherhood.)

The truth is that the children usually don’t even know that if their father was to visit them, he would end up thrown in prison for not paying the lunatic amount of money they falsely call “child support”. The mere size of the quantity they demand is proof that the true purpose of that money is not for supporting the children. Those government people know that the fortune that they charge, which they know perfectly well that the average men could not possibly pay, is for the purpose of destroying the authority of the men in marriage and families.

“Fatherhood” In America has been completely destroyed, all with such an innocent and guilt misappropriating thing called “child support” or “alimony”. (See article listed below on: Fatherhood.) America is a fatherless country. The majority of the men who attempt to be “fathers” of their own children usually end up being some sort of doormat wimps, who act that way because they know perfectly well that the law is on the side of the women. The men are being disgraced in front of their own children. But, the children don’t even know that it is the government which is forcing the whole thing.

There are even some men who read a warning like this and reject it. The disgrace of being forced to be doormat wimps is too much for them. So, they sugar-coat the whole thing and call it something else. They pretend that it isn’t real. They live in a fantasy world. As a result, they end up kind of like one of those guys who have a paper stuck on their back that says “kick me” with an arrow pointed down to their rear end.

It’s a strange thing about the whole concept of “management”. Normally, when something goes wrong, people blame it on the manager. The most common case is the president, who gets blamed for almost every problem under the sun. Well, what would happen if the president was a woman? All those people who have a bad habit of blaming the president for everything would have to suddenly stop themselves short. Out of automatic reflex, the words would start to come out of their mouths, but they would have to cut off their sentence in the middle. It has become **taboo** to say anything against modern feminism.

Which would end up being stronger? The habit of throwing the blame on the president or the current **taboo** against saying anything wrong with modern feminism? I’ll bet the **taboo** would win out. Their constant habit of speaking against the president would somehow be completely and entirely muzzled. And, at the same time the woman president could start causing as many problems she wants, because she knows it’s **taboo** to speak against her. Normally, when a person develops a constant habit, they always do it like an automatic reflex without even thinking about it. For them,

quitting the habit is kind of like trying to stop smoking cigarettes. But, in this case, this **taboo** is so ingrained in people's brains that it would no doubt completely overpower any other old habits.

How did this **taboo** get to be so strong? Well, every day people are constantly observing the abnormalities, absurdities and inconveniences of putting a woman into a management position over men. And, they're constantly lying to themselves, forcing themselves to ignore the obvious craziness of female management over men. This is a continual lie they tell themselves every day. The perpetual daily frequency of this lie is a much stronger and a more constant habit than any other habit. The strongest and most frequent habit wins out, hands down. No contest. Other habits don't stand a chance against this one. The farfetched extreme obvious abnormal absurdity of this lie will overpower anything else. When people go to such far ludicrous lengths away from reality, the lie itself is much bigger than any other. For someone to convince themselves of a lie this big requires the brain to falsely stretch reality to such extreme intensive absurdity that the brain can't come back from it.

They've crossed a line. Their brain can no longer perceive things correctly. It's a waste of time trying to reason with them. Let them go their own way. They're riding on a Titanic. And their ship is going to sink. Do not even pray for such a person. (1John 5:16) 1Sam 15:23 "For rebellion is as the sin of witchcraft, and stubbornness is as iniquity and idolatry. Because thou hast rejected the word of the LORD, he hath also rejected thee from being king." When it says "king", it is talking about the spiritual promise that saved people will be kings in the next life. Mat 7:21 "Not every one that saith unto me, Lord, Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven; but he that doeth the will of my Father which is in heaven." But, in this case, these people are rebellious; they do not do "the will of my Father"; they have lost their crowns. (See article listed below on: Salvation.) People who use the Bible regularly ought to know better. But, you can't be prosperous in a prosperous society unless you "go with the flow".

When the "Titanic" is mentioned, most people take a light interpretation of its meaning. For whatever the reason, most people don't think about the fact that in the Titanic disaster, most of the people on the Titanic died when it went down. Am I really saying that when this "feminism" sinks, most people in the US will die? Yes! I say the disaster it causes will make the Great Depression look like small potatoes. The "feminist" movement is anti-Biblical and it is cursed by God.

Everybody knows that an "employee's liberation" movement would ruin almost every business in America. It would be a **disadvantage** to employees equally as much as the "women's liberation" movement has already been a **disadvantage** to women. An "employee's liberation" movement would cause the worst economic disaster in the history of the world. Yet, now that most marriages, romances and families have been ruined or perverted, nobody seems to know why. The **taboo** of speaking, or even thinking against this custom is so strong that people have subconsciously convinced themselves to forget that it is based on a lie.

Despite the modern higher education of women and the rise of advanced technology, the morality and stability (emotional and psychological) of the American society, especially family life, keeps going down every year..... and the highly educated women are unable to figure out that it's being caused by the "women's liberation" movement. (This comment is not intended to insult women. It is intended to take note that even highly educated women need loving husbands to guide them and protect them from dangerous errors. The purpose of this comment is to motivate women to overcome the deception..... and to be winners instead.)

Modern education is unable to stop the rise of bad family conditions, drug addiction, alcoholism due to bad family conditions, mental illness and purposeless suicides; all of which, more than anything else, are the product of the "women's liberation" movement: "feminism". Modern education refuses to admit that modern "feminism" has anything at all to do with those problems, which is why they are completely unable to resolve those problems.

If the current trend continues, eventually, it will get worse and worse and worse until it will finally end in disaster..... definitely. Modern education is completely unable to stop it from happening. Absolutely, it WILL happen!! Disaster is coming!!! The "women's liberation" movement is a big fat Titanic that **MUST** sink! Despite the higher education of modern women, they can **not** perceive how the "women's liberation" movement has already been to their own **disadvantage**. "Feminism" is so corrupt that it doesn't even need an iceberg to sink it. It will sink all on its own.

Modern educated women have been trained (brainwashed) from childhood that if they don't get a university degree and a professional macho job like men do, then they must be some kind of losers or meaningless nobodies. They imagine that by getting a university degree and a professional macho job like men, they will be truly successful and happy! But they're not happy, (even when they have good paying jobs). But they can't figure out why. Their marriages, romances and family lives are usually rotten and they are never content with their pay. It used to be that women were not permitted in universities as students. Those days have now been forgotten. And, on those rare occasions when people are reminded of it, they can't figure out why it used to be that way.

Despite the fact that they're earning more money, they always want more, always more, always more. No quantity is ever enough. Almost our entire society has been caught up in the new religion of "**love-of-money**", which a lot of people have become obsessed with. **1Ti 6:10 "For the love of money is the root of all evil: which while some coveted after, they have erred from the faith, and pierced themselves through with many sorrows."** Funny thing about this type of religion, no matter how much money they have, it's never enough. They always want more, always more, always more. No quantity is ever enough.

Meanwhile, most of the non-educated workers who make reasonable pay are usually happier in life than those educated macho professional women. Making lots of

money or having a prestigious professional macho job is not where happiness comes from. Happiness is a gift from God. The truth is that God designed women to be the happiest and most stable mentally, emotionally and spiritually when they have good men for leaders who treat them well, just like how employee's are happiest and most stable when they have good men for leaders who treat them well, with reasonable pay.

Likewise, God designed masculinity to naturally take the leadership over femininity, the same way that business management automatically takes the leadership over the workers. It was God who established this rule: [Eph 5:23](#) "... the husband is the head of the wife, even as Christ is the head of the church: and he is the saviour of the body." However, in the last 100 years or so, there has been a very large group of people "anonymously" influencing the entire world to the contrary by pumping negative propaganda into the heads of anyone they can, any way they can. (brainwashing, manipulation) They do anything they can to promote the switching of gender roles, which in turn, confuses most people's physical desires and thereby increases same gender romances and impairs normal straight romance. Men start to be more romantically attracted to men, instead of women. And women start to be more romantically attracted to women, instead of men.

It has now become **taboo** for men to have normal masculinity. Any man who insists on acting manly is automatically labeled a woman abuser. Masculinity has now become deformed and it has been pushed upon the women by the modern "feminist" movement. Women are being brainwashed to act like men and men are being brainwashed to act like women. If any man tries to prohibit women from being men, he is labeled as some kind of villain, ogre and woman abuser. Women, on the other hand can act very macho and even be ogres without anyone accusing them of anything wrong.

The satanic people inside the government, media, educational system and churches are the ringleaders promoting this modern way of thinking that all started to make significant progress way back around 1920 when many changes were made in US law concerning women. The most publicized change was that women were permitted to vote for the first time in the US. Now that this has become a regular way of life, most people don't know that, back then, it was common knowledge that such changes were communistic, socialistic, anti-Christian and witchcraft.

In correct Christianity men are the leaders, but in satanic witchcraft women are the leaders, commonly known as "witches". Female leaders in Christian churches are called "wolves in sheep's clothing". Normally, the "sheep's clothing" is supposed to be deceptive, trying to fool people, but in this case, male leadership is so well taught in the Bible that there is no room for innocent people being deceived. This "sheep's clothing" is so obviously contrary to correct Bible teaching that the only case scenario is that of deliberate rebellion. Maybe someone who is new to Christianity and already accustomed to female leadership (already brainwashed by society) might be in a position that they are unaware of this otherwise obvious rebellion.

A little further back, before 1920, even all the universities were exclusively for men. None of the universities even permitted women entrance as students, much less as teachers or administrators. The first few colleges that had women students were exclusively for women (like Radcliffe). Men were not allowed in them and they had much different courses of study than the universities for men. There was no such thing as a female physician, professor, engineer, lawyer, business administrator or politician, etc. (These professions must be considered “macho”, even today they are considered “macho”. Except possibly for a pediatrician, obstetrician or gynecologist. There may be a few other exception cases, too.)

The only women teachers back then were teachers of children or of other women, never teachers in universities over adult male students. Even Harvard didn't have women as students on the same standing as men for many years. Instead, they had a special arrangement with Radcliffe, the all women's university, to be like brother/sister universities.

Sometimes, modern men try to convince themselves that they actually like “macho” women, because they know that the “writing is on the wall”. It's on the agenda, and it has to be that way, so they accept it willingly. And of course, they are now raised with it from early childhood. So, they have become accustomed to it.

But, as a result of such irrational thinking, perversion reins. The truth is that this type of man isn't any more attractive to the average macho woman than a macho woman is attractive to the average man. Many men try to convince themselves that they like something that they really don't like, because it's better to accept and learn to live with the unpleasant side of life, the things that can't be changed, rather than hating it and being miserable. But, in reality, it is less abnormal for a man to get involved romantically with another man, than it is for a man to like it when a woman acts like a man.

Women in politics should be thought of in the same category and the same nature as same gender marriages or romances. Gender role reversal causes physical desire confusion in most people, without them ever knowing why. In the Bible, God rained fire down from heaven on Sodom and Gomorrah because of this. (Gen. 19) (It should also be noticed that the destruction of Sodom and Gomorrah was not as much for same gender romance as it was for same gender gang rape.) Nevertheless, the punishment for this sin is supposed to be death. Lev. 20:13 “If a man also lie with mankind, as he lieth with a woman, both of them have committed an abomination: they shall surely be put to death; their blood shall be upon them.” If someone says that God is wrong, they are speaking **BLASPHEMY!!!** God is the one who makes the rules! Especially what happens to people after they die.

Back in the 60's, most of the people who were against the “women's liberation” movement thought that it would cause a considerable increase in “sodomy”, along with many other abnormalities. Rom 1:27 “And likewise also the men, leaving the natural

use of the woman, burned in their lust one toward another; men with men working that which is unseemly (improper), and receiving in themselves that recompence of their error which was meet (fitting).” Back then, they also had a fear that God would send a horrible punishment as a result of permitting such behavior. According to professor Louis Crompton, Ph.D. on early American history, referring to this abomination..... “in 1776, in the original 13 colonies (this crime) was universally subject to the death penalty”. However, later on, the penalty for this crime was reduced to long-term imprisonment. As time went by, little by little, the US government decreased the punishment for this crime to less and less time in prison. Eventually, they completely repealed all the laws against it. And now, it is “politically incorrect” to even speak against it.

For a long time most people believed that permitting this type of corruption was a major factor in the downfall of many past great empires, like the Greek empire and the Roman empire. But, few people know how much this corruption was in practice during the downfall of the nation of Israel shortly after the time of Jesus Christ. In the book called “Wars of the Jews” or “History of the Destruction of Jerusalem”, by Flavius Josephus. (available at <https://www.gutenberg.org/files/2850/2850-h/2850-h.htm>) In book 4, chap. 9, paragraph 10, Josephus says: “.....and indulged themselves in feminine wantonness, without any disturbance, till they were satiated therewith; while they decked their hair, and put on women's garments, and were besmeared over with ointments; and that they might appear very comely (attractive), they had paints under their eyes, and imitated not only the ornaments, but also the lusts of women, and were guilty of such intolerable uncleanness, that they invented unlawful pleasures of that sort. And thus did they roll themselves up and down the city, as in a brothel-house, and defiled it entirely with their impure actions; nay, while their faces looked like the faces of women, they killed with their right hands; and when their gait was effeminate.....” (Josephus gives many more details in his history.) Shortly after this, in about 70AD, the Romans conquered Israel with a relatively small army, and the few survivors were removed from that land, and dispersed in small groups throughout the Roman Empire. (They didn't need a large army because the Jews were not united. They had no federal government, so they could not raise a large army.) And, so they stayed scattered all over the world, prohibited from returning to their own country, until 1948 when Israel was reborn as a nation, after the holocaust in WW2.

Notice that, through history, in societies that have let this type of corruption (sodomism) run wild, those people have a tendency to get very aggressive, murderous and abusive. It is a common occurrence for them to gang rape other innocent people, as they tried to do in Gen. 19:4,5. (Note – Any person who reads this warning, and rejects it, is not “innocent”, they will deserve what they get for cooperating with this corruption.) When any society has permitted this corruption to be accepted, that type of corruption always gets out of control. As Josephus says, they even start killing people, anyone who op-poses them. No society that has ever permitted this type of corruption has ever surviv-ed,..... **never!** The disaster that always goes along with the package is always severe enough to stop people from permitting it, after they see the

consequences of permitting it.

When this type of corruption first starts, it's about the same as with communism. As long as it's new, and they are underdogs they seem like good people. But, it's not until they gain power that their true colors start to show. When they are powerful, both of these forms of corruption become very abusive and tyrannical. It's amazing how some people support things like "communism", and they don't know that when it gains power, it is ALWAYS, ALWAYS, ALWAYS tyrannical. Every time! But, not at the beginning. At the beginning they are sweet underdogs.

The subject of "same gender" romance is not necessarily about the legalization of that type of marriage. It is about the unnatural human reaction to the practice of giving women dominant positions of leadership over men, like bosses at work over men or positions in politics. (From the position of president all the way down to the driver's license clerk who gives men permission to drive.) Gender role reversal alters most people's physical desires. Most people exposed to this abnormality don't know if they are more attracted to the opposite gender, their own gender, both or neither, without ever knowing why.

The whole idea of female politicians and female bosses over men goes hand in hand with raising many people's attraction to their own gender, thus increasing the quantity of same gender romances, which eventually start living together as a result. (Whether or not same gender marriages are legalized is a secondary issue. The contamination of same gender romances is a problem started by gender role reversal..... and is equally as damaging to society.) (It's not until they gain power that they become abusive and murderous.) Female politicians and bosses over men are equally as abnormal and responsible for same gender romantic relationships >>> cause and effect.

No society that has ever permitted same gender marriages has ever survived. Permitting that type of marriage is an **absolute** sure bet that the society will fall. "The writing is on the wall". (see Dan 5, which is the origin of the phrase of "the writing is on the wall".) God is merciful, and gives people time to repent. But, eventually this type of corruption must be destroyed. It is a big fat Titanic. And, that baby's going down.

But really, what is the advantage to women by having them take on the masculine role if they have disastrous family lives or lousy romances? Modern feminism is anti-romantic! (It has a negative effect on normal straight romances) Why can't women figure out that being trained to act like men impairs normal romance? But most importantly, what is the advantage to women by training them to assume the masculine role if they go to hell when they die? Science will **never** stop death. Heb 9:27 "And as it is appointed unto men once to die, but after this the judgment." Why do so many people act like they will never die?

The people responsible for starting this movement did it for the purpose of setting a very deceptive trap in order to conquer and manipulate innocent and unsuspecting people. (Especially concerning anti-religious or anti-Christian brainwashing intended to

drag as many souls to hell as possible) Brainwashing happens very slowly and gradually, and the average person doesn't even notice the changes, nor do they associate the consequences of those changes to the real causes. The most important negative consequence for this satanic "feminism" is the condemnation to burn in hell for eternity; spiritual death..... permanent death in the next life, after this life is finished. Modern "feminism" is witchcraft. **Rev 20:15** "And whosoever was not found written in the book of life was cast into the lake of fire."

All of the **first** universities that permitted women as students on an equal standing as men had a philosophy that was very "humanistic", "atheistic", "satanic", "anti-Christian" and "socialistic". All this started from the influence of Lenin and Marx satanic propaganda. (brainwashing, manipulation) Regardless of the fact that there are many different groups and variations of this philosophy, the rejection of God and faithful religious customs is **always** their first priority, **unanimously**. This movement has been world-wide and it is primarily anti-religious and social..... more than anything else (more than political). Most people call it "communism" or "socialism". And the "socialists" claim that they are not tyrants, like the "communists". But, even the "communists" who now have a very bad reputation as tyrants and murderers, started out wearing "good-guy" masks, just like the "socialists" are doing right now, in the US. They always start out pretending to be good. It's really hard to believe that decade after decade, gullible people continue to fall for this type of deception, over and over again. Somehow, they can't see past the "good-guy" masks. Most especially, women cannot see past the "good-guy" masks of the communist "feminism" deception.

Back in the days when communism actually gained power, it was very bad, lots of tyranny, torture and murder. But, at the very beginning they used their "good guy" mask tactic with their primary emphasis on economic changes, like sharing wealth. (The "sharing wealth" deception was primarily to get the gullible masses of poor people to assist them rise up against the government in the Russian revolution.) Make no mistake, the "feminist" movement (women's liberation) was propagated by atheistic anti-Christian communist satanic philosophy, which has successfully deceived many people into thinking it is something good. It is primarily a social and anti-Christian movement not a political movement, especially in America. Their primary purpose is to inhibit the influence of every religion in every society. Not just get rid of all the religions, but also get rid of the religious influence/customs, like all-male leadership.

For many years, all the countries that adopted an atheistic "communist" type of government were all very bad. The Soviet Union always had patrols around its borders to keep their own citizens from escaping. Right now, North Korea has the same type of patrols around its borders. North Korea even has electric fences to kill anyone trying to escape. From WW1 until now, the "communists" have killed more innocent people than the Nazis ever did in the Nazi death camps during WW2. (Watch documentary called: The Soviet Story. Available on YouTube.) The wars in North Korea and Vietnam (which were wars against the spread of communism), back in the 50's and

60's, didn't just have a lot of killing; they were full of a certain type of psychological attack that left many of the surviving soldiers mentally ill for the rest of their lives.

In the US, since this satanic social propaganda started, there have never been so many cases of mental illness as a direct result of the deceptive influence of the propaganda of satanic communism..... and the psychologists can't figure out how to solve it. It has now become more of a social and anti-Christian movement rather than a political movement. (less political, more social, anti-religious, to drag as many souls to eternal hell fire as they can..... and cause as much mental illness as possible.) The influence of "feminism" has been so powerful that psychologists can't figure out that it is indeed modern "feminism" which is causing the problems, especially mental illness.

Because of the influence that started from Lenin and Marx, almost **every** modern university, in the US, has adopted an atheistic social "communist" philosophy. They don't call themselves "communists", but they act like "communists". They always contradict faithful Christian customs as much as they can by always promoting customs which are the opposite of Christianity. The most notable custom is the propagation of putting women in authority positions over men, which is gender role reversal.

Likewise, almost **every** modern politician, in the US, has adopted an atheistic "communist" philosophy, but they don't call themselves "communists". Do "wolves in sheep's clothing" openly call themselves "wolves"? If they did, then why would they bother wearing the sheep's clothing? (See article listed below on: Wolves In Sheep's Clothing) Notice – it is possible, in some cases, that those politicians and university leaders are not really "communists" themselves, but they have been trained (brain-washed) by an abundance of communist philosophy (propaganda, manipulation) to adopt communist habits and to go with the flow. (Especially feminism.)

The worst "wolves" of all are the false leaders inside the churches, who call themselves Christians, but secretly they are satanic spies. (See article listed below on: Wolves In Sheep's Clothing.) Make no mistake, the anti-Christian custom of putting women in positions of authority over men, in our modern society, was the result of decades of atheistic anti-Christian communist propaganda. (Which is very **deceptively** a disadvantage to women.) The poisonous communist philosophy is the most powerful media, anti-religious and educational influence in the world today, especially in America. (It is less political, more social, more anti-religious and anti-romantic feminism.)

Even the name itself, "communism", is a lie. They teach that they will one day make the whole world one great big harmonious "commune". Everyone will all live together and share all their possessions like one big happy family in peace, love and order. They say that the government, the military and the police will eventually not be necessary, because the human race will have evolved into the highest form of living: a commune, where everyone is equal and happy. (See article listed below on: The Lie of Evolution.)

(Notice – ever since this communism has ruined most families in America, the instability of family chaos has produced an extreme rise in young people who usually

turn out to be unstable. By destroying marriage and parenthood they have created the opposite of their theory of a harmonious peaceful “commune”. There has never been a society with more instability and criminal character than this present society which has been brainwashed by communism.)

This is nothing more than a lie intended to fight against Christianity. The communists have **never** produced a good commune with sharing wealth..... just tyranny and poverty. It is the communist leaders who get the wealth..... while they treat the poor schmucks who helped them rise to power with only tyranny, contempt and poverty. Even highly educated women can't figure out that they have been duped into going along with this deception. (This comment is not intended to insult women. It is intended to take note that even highly educated women need loving husbands to guide them and protect them from dangerous errors. The purpose of this comment is to motivate women to overcome the deception..... and to be winners instead.)

The best “commune” that ever existed was due to Christian influence in the New Testament. **Act 4:32** “And the multitude of them that believed were of one heart and of one soul: neither said any of them that ought of the things which he possessed was his own; but they had all things common.” Modern “communism” is a satanic counterfeit of a true Christian commune but with deceptive anti-Christian propaganda intended to defeat Christianity and to drag as many unsuspecting souls to hell as they can. It is a direct attack against the future prophesied outpouring of the Holy Spirit, similar to what happened on the day of Pentecost, along with the accompanying Christian commune mentioned above. (See article listed below on: The New World Order was Prophesied in the Bible.)

After all, why is it necessary for them to reject God? Why do they prohibit all religions? (Notice – They don't do this kind of stuff while they are wearing their “good-guy” masks. They only become tyrants after they have obtained power.) Why is the denial of God and good Christian customs always their **first** priority? Why do they lie about a wonderful “commune” where they say everyone will be happy and share wealth, when all they can produce is horrible tyranny, poverty and the leaders hoarding all the riches for themselves? Answer: They are liars from Satan and “wolves in sheep's clothing” who want to ruin family life as much as they can and conquer as many unsuspecting gullible people as they can.

Why is their second priority propagating female authority over men? Answer: All-male authority over women is faithful Christian custom. It is also normal human nature. God has created human nature so that male leadership over women is the condition that produces the most mental stability and happiness for both women and men. Satan must reject faithful Christianity. Satan has chosen women for leaders (witches) because God has chosen men for leaders.

Christian churches with women leaders are really female “wolves in sheep's clothing”, and their sheep's clothing is about as fake (unprofessional) as it can get, because it is very clearly stated in the Bible not to do such things. (There's no room for

honest errors..... only deliberate rebellion among people who read the Bible.) They love corrupting Christianity. What could possibly be more fun for them? Their “sheep's clothing” is unprofessional because the Bible speaks very clearly and directly against female leadership over men in the church. **1Tim. 2:11,12** “Let the woman learn in silence with all subjection. But I suffer not a woman to teach, nor to usurp authority over the man, but to be in silence.” **1Cor. 14:34,35** “Let your women keep silence in the churches: for it is not permitted unto them to speak; but they are commanded to be under obedience, as also saith the law. And if they will learn any thing, let them ask their husbands at home: for it is a **shame** for women to speak in the church.” (This does not apply to women teaching other women or children, provided it is done under the supervision of a man.) The word “**shame**” means that it is a disgrace to manhood for men to follow female leadership.

A good example in the Bible of a woman religious and political leaders was Queen Jezebel, the wife of King Ahab, who had spread the worship of the false god Baal in Israel. The end of that accursed woman would be a very fitting end for all those women pastors in churches corrupting Christianity. (And also female politicians and bosses over men.) The man Jehu had her thrown down out of an upper window of a building. Then he had his chariot horses trample her under their hooves, crushing her bones like what happened to the villain during the Roman chariot race in the 1959 film Ben-Hur. (See Ben-Hur chariot race on YouTube.) Then the dogs came and ate her. She never had a funeral or a burial. **2King 9:36, 37** “.....And he said, This is the word of the LORD, which he spake by his servant (prophet) Elijah the Tishbite, saying, In the portion of Jezreel shall dogs eat the flesh of Jezebel: 37- And the carcase of Jezebel shall be as dung upon the face of the field.....” So that, if anyone in those days saw some dog droppings laying on the ground, they might say, “there lies Jezebel”, as though they were looking at her grave and mocking her. Laughing mockery would be even more appropriate!!!

Not only that, but her daughter Queen Athaliah was executed almost as appropriately. **2Chr 23:14,21** “Then Jehoiada the priest brought out the captains of hundreds that were set over the host, and said unto them, Have her (Athaliah) forth of the ranges: and whoso followeth her, let him be slain with the sword. For the priest said, Slay her not in the house of the LORD. 21- And all the people of the land rejoiced: and the city was quiet, after that they had slain Athaliah with the sword.” Not quite though....  
..... Jezebel takes the cake.

Since the supposed downfall of “communism” (the downfall of the iron curtain and the Soviet Union) many people mistakenly think that this horrible “communism” is dead. However, the communists have learned that they can make much more progress by infiltrating other countries and influencing positions of leadership rather than keeping their own people prisoners and maintaining a bad reputation as tyrants. (Remember, they are primarily social and anti-religious rather than political.)

When they were horrible tyrants, they were showing their true character, without any “sheep's clothing” (no mask). Now that they're concentrating their efforts on infiltration and/or influencing social positions of leadership, (more than they did before) their “sheep's clothing” has to be very professional and deceptive. (See article listed below on: Wolves In Sheep's Clothing.)

When they were first getting started in Russia, they propagated themselves to the masses of gullible poor people as the “good guys” against the horrible tyranny of the Russian royalty. They had public marches with banners that said things like: “Brotherhood and Freedom”, “Justice, Equality and Bread”. Those marches usually ended by the police shooting down the people or the Czar's cavalry trampling the people. (See 1965 film: “Doctor Zhivago”. This film was outlawed in the Soviet Union for many years.)

The new communists were using the widespread intensive poverty and abusiveness that existed in those days as a tool to fool the gullible masses of poor people into assisting them to rise up and take control of the government. Notice how they **ALWAYS, ALWAYS, ALWAYS** start out using a fake good-guy mask of deception to fool the gullible people. And so it is until today. Even after a long-term history of proving themselves to be deceivers and tyrants, there are still super gullible dummies who fall for their unbelievably obvious scam.

Back then, when they took control of the government after the Russian revolution they were worse tyrants than the previous Russian royalty..... Supposedly, they were the ones who were going to overcome the tyranny of the Russian royalty, but after they gained power, the poor people were poorer after the revolution than they were before. People starved to death by the millions while the communist leaders were hoarding the wealth.

In 1932 Stalin even sent an army to the Ukraine to forcefully remove all of the food and even take all the seed for the next year's planting and shoot anyone leaving or bringing food in. The enormous supply of confiscated food was sold outside of Russia to make Stalin and his fellow communist politicians rich. After the hunger took its effect, in the Ukraine, as they were removing the millions of dead bodies and dumping them into mass graves, occasionally there were a few who were still barely alive, but they were thrown in anyway and buried alive. Hitler was a small mass murderer compared to Stalin. After all this, why was the United States allies with Stalin during WW2? But, in modern times, they change their name to “socialists” and say that they are not tyrants like the “communists” were. And yet, they are **still** getting gullible schmucks to follow them.

The truth is that the US has had undercover communist politicians for a long time now, who are satanic “wolves in sheep's clothing” that love to deceive, conquer and kill. (Like Bush's 9-11, see documentary: Loose Change.) Imagine that, more people voted for Bush in his second election than in his first election. It works the same way in the churches as it does in politics. If you inform people about the true badness of their leader, they support him more. They refuse to believe he is a “wolf in sheep's

clothing". Jesus said, "Beware of false prophets, which come to you in sheep's clothing, but inwardly they are ravening wolves." [Mat 7:15](#)

Obama's socialist backing went back at least to 1996, when he received the endorsement of the Chicago branch of the Democratic Socialists of America (DSA) for an Illinois state senate seat. According to Cliff Kincaid in [Accuracy in the Media](#), Obama endorsed openly Socialist Senator Bernie Sanders in 2006. Obama was later endorsed by the Communist Party USA in his run for President of the United States. President Obama had openly suggested Marxist ideologies and had surrounded himself with numerous outspoken Marxists.

Trump, on the other hand, supposedly had some communist interference computer hacking that supported him during his first election. He has had a long history of being good friends with Soviets/Russians, kind of like how the Bush family were long standing friends with the Bin Ladin family. Trump does support "redistribution of wealth", which has a clear communist origin. (Funny thing, people like Trump are wealthy, but people like him aren't interested in "redistribution" of their own wealth, only in "redistribution" of somebody else's wealth.) There has never been a US president so friendly and involved with the communists than Trump. (See 2018 documentary about Trump: "Active Measures". Available on YouTube.)

Don't be mistake, no communist government has ever produced a good commune. Having a "government" is itself a denial and exposure of their own false philosophy. The few "communes" that have been somewhat successful through history were more like a "democracy". It must also be kept in mind that even pure "democracy" is impractical on a large scale. In a large government where hundreds of daily decisions must be made, it would be impossible to have a national citizen's vote every day on every detail. Pure "democracy" also does not have authoritative leaders telling people what to do. Pure "democracy" is irrational; it can't work. Our modern concept of "democracy" is a modified half-republic type of "democracy".

Not forgetting that managing a government also bears many similarities to managing a large business, and most of the common people are very incompetent business managers. The common people managing a large business would produce nothing but chaos. Thus, the need for a more practical government in the form of a republic, with the spirit of "democracy" by the election of representatives who are capable managers. (Also, don't forget that the common people in a real democracy would be very bad at raising a good army for national defense against any enemy. And, the military itself could never be run like a democracy.)

So, while the secretly communist spy leaders in the free countries, like the US, are busy corrupting the entire society, the internal communists can pull the rug out from under all those schmucks who follow along the deceptive dupe communist propaganda. Just take a look at Venezuela. A good example. Venezuela collapsed from the inside. Venezuela was probably a practice run for when they pull the rug out from under the US.

Even though the “communists” or “socialists” falsely claim to have many of the attributes of “democracy”, there are five main differences between “democracy” and “communism”. (Besides their reputation for brainwashing, torture and murder.) (Remember, the current movement is more social and anti-Christian, less political.)

**First**, “communism” is always atheistic. Their first priority is to remove all religions, religious practices and religious teachings (like promoting feminism and minimizing manly “fatherhood”). A father is a symbolic representation of God. Communists are led by Satan. (Once they get the fathers out of the way, they can brainwash the children any way they want.) (See article listed below on: Fatherhood.) They want to drag as many souls to hell as they can. Democracies and republics permit freedom of religion. Don’t forget, at the beginning while they are wearing their “good-guy” masks, they may pretend to allow religion. But, that is just part of their lying “good-guy” deception, to win over the gullible masses.

**Second**, “communism” removes the automatic authority of men over women because that authority is taught in faithful Christianity. They claim to promote “equality”, but in family life it is very clear that they promote female dominance (satanic witchcraft). Any American who knows how much the laws have been changed **heavily** in favor of wives against husbands ought to be ashamed of themselves to use the word “equality”. Communists have no interest in “equality”. (But their lying propaganda falsely promotes “equality”.) And they have no interest in protecting women from the supposedly big bad tyranny of all male dominance over women. Many years ago, most men who were leaders over women were very loving and protective, not abusive. The propaganda that men were always tyrants over women was the lie they used to overcome male leadership.

The purpose of these laws is not to prevent men from abusing women, nor to promote equality. The purpose is to destroy marriage completely, in which they have been very successful up until now..... all this has been a disadvantage to women by ruining their marriages, romances and families. But even highly educated women can't figure out this deception. (This comment is not intended to insult women. It is intended to take note that even highly educated women need loving husbands to guide them and protect them from dangerous errors. The purpose of this comment is to motivate women to overcome the deception,..... and to be winners instead.)

The communists were the ones who spread the **false** accusation that male dominance over women was always tyrannical. (This propaganda was very strong during the beginning of the “women's liberation” movement.) The truth is that men have usually been the lovers and protectors of women, not the abusers of women. Most men in those days liked to spoil their wives. Those liars who have been spreading these false accusations are the ones who want to dominate and abuse.

The first step to conquer a society is to eliminate the male protectors of the women, which leaves the women insecure and vulnerable. Any man who has been trained to follow female leadership has already been conquered and will be very weak and poor defenders against any adversary. Also, any military that takes its orders from

women will be extremely weak and poor defenders against any adversary. Pure democracy on a large scale is impractical and irrational as a political structure. The idea of democracy as a means to overcome tyrannical dominance sounds good. But, democracy cannot produce quality management. Pure democracy has no management at all. The people vote for everything in democracy.

Up until today, when most of the men in America that have been faced with the reality of the extreme legal biases against men and in favor of women, they usually try to sugar-coat it by thinking that it is “noble” to help women. But, in reality, it isn't any more “noble” than how the communists falsely helped the poor Russians to get freedom from the previous tyrannical Russian royalty in the Russian revolution. The poor people were poorer after the revolution than they were before. The main deception back then was that the poor people were supposed to share the wealth of the rich people. But after the revolution, those poor people discovered that the communist leaders were just using the poor people as dupe schmucks to get all the riches for themselves. Communist leaders never share the wealth. Did Trump ever share his wealth? No!

Female dominance over men is not “noble” at all. It is a disgrace to manhood. Neither is it moral, God says it is **blasphemy**. (Titus 2:4,5) Those people who started it were **not** doing it with the intention of making women and men “equal”. That is a lie! Their fight is against God and faithful Christian customs. Women are worse off now than they were before the “women's liberation” movement started..... and they can't figure out that modern “feminism” is causing it. Marriages have never been as unstable and unhappy as now, in America.

In a true “democracy” or “republic”, before the influence of communism, each man was the head and representative of his family and the only one in each family to vote. Permitting women to vote was not started until 1920 in the US, which was the result of the influence of “communist” propaganda (brainwashing) and “communist” politicians in the US, who did **not** call themselves “communist”. Previously, husbands were the representatives of their families just as Senators are the representatives of their states. It is no more an injustice for men to make decisions for their wives and children than it is for a senator to make decisions for the citizens of his state.

**Third**, “communism” requires (by force) that everyone share all possessions and living space, whereas in true “democracy” sharing possessions and living space is voluntary. The phrase “by force” indicates the absolute necessity of a governmental power in order to enforce communism. In the Soviet Union, after the Russian revolution, people with large houses were forced to share them with other poorer families, **without** receiving rent. (And most of their household possessions got stolen in the process.)

Also, notice that the communist political leaders do not subject themselves to the same regulations as the people they are ~~governing~~.... or rather, as the gullible followers they deceived and conquered. All of the communist political leaders have al-

ways lived in luxury and kept the best possessions and living space for themselves. All their talk of “equality” and “brotherhood” is a big fat lie intended to conquer and dominate the unsuspecting gullible masses. Most of the educated people who actively support communism do it because they have hopes that one day they will be one of those rich leaders who dominate the gullible followers. (Notice – The “socialists” who claim that they are not the same as the tyrannical “communists”, are liars. Changing their name is just one more deception. After they gain power, they always take off their “good-guy” masks. They are never tyrants before they gain power.)

**Fourth**, “communism” must destroy the family unit. They cannot allow a mother-father-children family unit, because this also was established by God and is taught in correct Christianity. But mostly, once they've ruined families and gain control of the children, then they can raise the children any way they want. (Brainwashing) Just as everyone is required (by force) to share their possessions and living space, likewise women and men and children must all be shared equally. Marital commitment (husbands and wives) cannot be permitted. They want people to live like dogs that roam the streets. The destruction of marriage, up until now, has been a slow yet very successful process. Their final goal is to eliminate marriage and family completely. They want people to live like dogs that roam the streets.

The removal of the authority of the husband was the first and most important step toward the destruction of marriage and family. Permitting the women to vote was a denial that husbands were the leaders and representatives of their families. Almost all modern women are not nearly as happy as women were before “women's liberation”. Almost all modern women are deceived into thinking that these changes are to their advantage. Despite the modern high level education for women, they are not capable of understanding the deception of the communist “feminism” (women's liberation) brainwashing (propaganda, manipulation).

Modern “feminism” is kind of like the story of a little hamster who was desperately struggling trying to get out of her cage by opening the door. But she didn't realize that just outside her door was a big dog staring intently at her and licking his chops, just waiting for her to get that door open. The poor little thing didn't know that she was much safer and happier inside the cage than outside. This example is even more applicable to “children's liberation” than it is to “women's liberation”.

The removal of the husbands' authority over their wives and the removal of parental authority over their own children are part of the same package. You cannot have the one without the other. The same people who are pushing the one are pushing the other. Women who think they somehow have an advantage by not being under a man's authority must accept, as part of the same package, losing any normal relationship with their own children. These changes are no more to the advantage of women than they are to the advantage of children. The example of the little hamster given above is even more applicable to “children's liberation” than to “women's liberation”. How is it possible that most people can figure out that both “children's liberation” and “employee's liberation” are foolish and destructive, but they can't figure out

that “women's liberation” is equally as foolish and destructive?

To some degree parental authority has already been minimized. The US government spends an enormous quantity of money on a gigantic agency that spends all of its time butting-in to family matters at the least little report of a neighbor or an acquaintance. That agency claims to be protecting children from abuse, but in reality, by suppressing parental authority to make parents afraid to apply normal discipline to their own children, they have corrupted the family environment so that chaos, craziness and/or violence increases. Undisciplined children get out of control. When correct discipline is suppressed, chaos and tension escalate. This chaos in the home makes parents feel more and more under pressure until they're like a volcano ready to explode, and as a result of those explosions, cases of child abuse go up.

Also, as part of this pressure, parents live in constant fear that the government might, at any time, come and take their children away. Check the statistics, since those government people started “protecting” children, the cases of violence in homes have gone up. Most parents are afraid to use normal discipline on their own children ..... and, as a result, their children are out of control.

Many parents live in constant fear that the government may, who knows when, remove their children from their home..... and if they ever want to get their children back, they are forced to attend certain lunatic “counseling” sessions. At first glance, those lunatic government “counselors” seem to be people who have never had children before and just don't seem to understand what it's like to manage children. But, in reality, when you realize that they're not interested in fixing any problems, they're interested in ruining everything, then the lunatic “counseling” starts to make sense..... and the parents won't get their children back unless they cooperate with that lunacy. You can see this governmental ruining process especially in the child adoption agencies. In modern times, any couples who want to adopt children have to do it through some sort of foreign agency, the adopted children must always be foreigners. The American adoption process has been non-functional for a long time now.

As a result of these changes, family life, in the US, is much worse than it was before this movement started. If parents would only apply correct normal discipline with loving self-control and moderation at the beginning of their children's misbehavior, then building up of tension, anger, screaming and violence would not happen. **Pro 23:13,14** “Withhold not correction from the child: for if thou beatest him with the rod, he shall not die. Thou shalt beat him with the rod, and shalt deliver his soul from hell.” **Pro 22:15** “Foolishness is bound in the heart of a child; but the rod of correction shall drive it far from him.” Most parents are afraid to discipline their own children. Likewise, most parents are afraid that the US government may come into their home and take away their children..... Sounds like communism, doesn't it? Yep, that's communism alright. Secret, deceptive, “good-guy” mask communism.

When it happens that the type of discipline taught in the Bible seems to not work properly, it is because this discipline must be understood as only one side of a two-sid-

ed coin. On the other side must be an example by a quality role-model. Any disciplinarian who is rebellious against their own correct authority figure cannot expect anything but rebellion in the child they are attempting to discipline. The most common case of this phenomenon is when a mother is rebellious against the authority of her husband. This is clear evidence that nature itself dictates that God has selected the father as the correct authority in a family. When a wife rebels in this manner, she should not expect to get anything but rebellion from her own children. It is a curse from God; she has rebelled against the authority which God has placed over her, so God has given her children that rebel in the same manner against her. It's not by chance that America is number one in divorces and also number one in rebellious bratty children.

**Fifth**, "communism" falsely claims to be fighting for the freedom of poor and oppressed people. The communists call it: "revolution" or "class struggle". Years ago in Russia, the communists were helping the poor oppressed people to fight for their freedom from the tyranny of the rich Russian royalty. Supposedly, the communists were the "good guys". Communism was something new at that time, and most of those poor people did not understand what it was. But really, the communists were just using the widespread intensive poverty that existed in those days as a tool to fool the gullible followers of poor people into assisting them rise up and take control of the government. At the beginning, communism put a strong emphasis on economic equality, claiming that rich people had an obligation to share their wealth with the poor people. But, in the end it was the communist leaders who got all that wealth, not the masses of poor people.

And now that there has been so many real life examples of this process, the gullible masses still fall for it. The communists always start out pretending to be the good guys. They're never bad until they get into a position of power. It works the same way with the Sodomites. As long as they're the underdogs, they're always the nicest people you'd ever want to meet. It's not until they get into a position of power that they start terrorizing other people.

Later on, especially during the 1990's, the economy all over the world had changed. That intensive poverty is not nearly as common now, so it has been necessary for the communists to change their battle tactics. (That is, change their style of deception to conquer the gullible masses.) This is why the Soviet Union was deliberately dissolved. Communism is now much more a social movement than a political one. Also, by taking control of the government back then they had lost view of their main objective, which was primarily social, intended to corrupt religious influence and destroy families and marriages..... and that is done better by infiltration as influence in a social movement in every aspect of social life. (religion, education, entertainment, work environment, etc.) Most people don't realize that it is good family life that strengthens religious foundations.

Immediately after the Russian revolution, as soon as the "communists" took control of the government, they were worse tyrants than the previous Russian royalty. But,

that type of tyranny doesn't exist in the US..... or does it? Isn't the enforcement of charging enormous and unjustifiable quantities of "child support" or "alimony" tyranny against men? Meanwhile, most men in America go to work and at least one of their bosses is a woman who earns more money than they do. The poor men who are low income couldn't pay both the enormous "child support" and also their own basic necessities even if they wanted to. They are forced to either become street people, or..... in order to survive they have to change their jobs, move to a different state, move to a secret address and use mass transit (they are denied renewal of their own drivers license (official ID) in their own state offices where the manager and clerk many times are women, who earn more money than they do) and be labeled as "criminals" or "dead-beats".

Most of those men were already on the verge of bankruptcy with one mortgage payment and at least one car payment. Then, on top of all that, he is required to pay enormous "child-support" or "alimony", which is usually **impossible** to pay. The fact that the women pretend that they can't figure out what the word "**impossible**" means demonstrates that they are indeed the tyrants. When the "women's liberation" movement was first getting started, the propaganda lied by falsely spreading the deceptive accusation that when men were in charge they were always tyrants. But now we can see that it is the women who are the tyrants. Back in those days the men usually were very protective of their wives. They also usually liked to spoil them.

Sometimes, those government offices even put pictures of men on a bulletin board and are literally labeled as "criminals" for owing "child support" in a place where the manager, many times, is a woman who earns more money than the men who are denied renewal of their identification. Interestingly, criminals such as murderers, bank robbers, extortioners, kidnappers and rapists never appear on those bulletin boards. This is because the people responsible for taking a man's child(ren) away from him and charging him with pay enormous and unjustifiable quantities of "child support" are the real kidnappers and extortionists. (notice – These laws are different in different states.) (also notice – the absence of "fatherhood", which is caused by this is usually the main cause of the rise of criminal behavior in modern young people, that we have today.) (See article listed below on: Fatherhood.)

Or, in order to survive, those poor men who are denied their identification might also consider moving to one of the oodles of English speaking countries around the world. But they should get their passport before their "child support" or "alimony" debt surpasses the limit of money owed in order to get one. Canada is the closest to the US. But they should do some Internet research about the laws in the country they want to go to, to make sure they don't fall into the same problem they have now, all over again. They also must check the requirements for getting permanent residence in order to work in the country they want to go to.

And to top it all off, if any man refuses to go along with this crazy and malicious system, those government kidnappers and thieves get the mothers to poison the minds

of his children by telling them that their father “abandoned” them. Rest assured, this is the number one cause of the destruction of American marriages, “fatherhood” and families. (This immoral law motivates women to fight against their husbands.)

This thing isn't just tyranny, it's the disgrace of manhood at the hands of their own wives, legalized and falsely painted as something “good” by the government, (brainwashing, manipulation) in the name of protecting children from starvation. Both “child-support” and “alimony” were started in order to get wives to fight with their husbands, thereby ruining marriages.

Normally, if a man doesn't act like a gentleman to women he is labeled a tyrant. But, in reality, it is the women who are the tyrants. They treat men worse than any men ever treat women. Women are rude and cruel to men. They need to be dominated, tamed and trained properly not to be that way. (See article listed below on: Domestic Discipline.)

Why do communists claim to be freeing people from tyranny when in reality, all they really want to do is fight against God's standards, ruin families and conquer unsuspecting gullible people any possible way they can? (See article listed below on: Fatherhood.) Make no mistake; the majority of US politicians are communists!! (While most Americans are sleeping.) Obama openly endorsed Socialist Senator Bernie Sanders in 2006. Obama was later endorsed by the Communist Party USA in his run for President of the United States. President Obama had openly suggested Marxist ideologies and had surrounded himself with numerous outspoken Marxists.

Trump, on the other hand, supported “redistribution of wealth”, which was never heard of before from wealthy people until communism started. And then he keeps all his wealth to himself. That's exactly what every single communist political leader has always done. The communist leaders always keep all the wealth for themselves while the common people live in poverty. The 2018 documentary “Active Measures” makes Trump look very bad.

---

Therefore, this destruction of marriages and families comes in certain stages.

### **Stage 1-**

The transference of the authority of the husband/father over to the wife/mother, done in the false name of “equality”. The term “equality” was the propaganda lie used during the feminist (women's liberation) transitional period to brainwash people. By law in the US, the mother has final authority over the children. Because this authority switch is accompanied with legal power to force the husband/father to pay enormous quantities of “alimony” or “child support”, the men feel forced to obey their domineering wives. It is not by chance that almost ALL marriages in the US have the wife dominating her husband. In the Bible female dominance is considered **blasphemy/witchcraft/sorcery**. **Titus 2:4,5** “That they may teach the young women to be..... obedient to their own husbands, that the word of God be not **blasphemed**. **Rev 21:8** “But the fearful, and unbelieving, and the abominable, and murderers, and whoremongers, and **sorcerers**,

and idolaters, and all liars, shall have their part in the lake which burneth with fire and brimstone: which is the second death.”

### **Stage 2-**

When the men see how crazy this whole thing is, and most especially that they have no say in family matters, they usually abandon the situation. In such bad circumstances, normally people blame the person in charge. But when a woman is in charge (a wife dominating her husband), it is **taboo** to say anything against it. In this case, the **taboo** is internal, that is, this thing is such a disgrace to manhood that most men cannot even admit to themselves that what is happening is really what is happening. It's so much easier to lie about it; to sugar-coat it and even call it something noble, and sweep it under the rug.

Anyone who speaks against “feminism” is automatically labeled as a villain. And therefore, for over two generations now, most of the children in the US have grown up without a father. If any man stays home under these circumstances he must become a whimp/doormat submissive weakling. And, as the children grow up, they can't tell the difference between masculinity and femininity. This produces an epidemic of same gender romances. It also produces an epidemic of criminal conduct, drug addiction and suicide.

### **Stage 3-**

Because of these resulting abnormal epidemics, there is also an epidemic of young people who can't maintain a job, and usually live on the street. The majority of young people living on the streets are addicted to some sort of cheap drug.

**Make no mistake, modern “feminism” is not only responsible for ruining marriages and families, but it is also the cause of those abnormal epidemics. Drugs, alcoholism, suicide, same gender romance, incapacity to maintain a normal job, which causes a life of crime necessary for self-support.**

**As long as people refuse to accept the true cause of the problem, no solution will ever happen. Feminism is a Titanic which will sink. The writing is on the wall! (Dan 5)**

Another cause for the high quantity of street people is resent high cost of rent/property, which is more an economic question of supply-and-demand. As long as there are large amounts of people who have the money to pay for high rates of rent, property owners are going to charge it. And, as long as most of the property owners would rather receive no rent instead of anything less than maximum rent, it's not going to change. This is more a question of “**love of money**”. 1Tim 6:10 “**For the love of money is the root of all evil: which while some coveted after, they have erred from the faith, and pierced themselves through with many sorrows.**” Well, it's a sinking ship..... and that baby's going down. This ship is so corrupt that it won't even need an iceberg to sink it. It'll sink all by itself.

When the “women’s liberation” (feminist) movement started, some men were against it because they thought it was abnormal. They thought it would cause chaos, divorces and many other types of problems. They also thought of it as a leading cause of “sodomy”, which is when men prefer romance with men..... and women prefer romance with women. Those men who were against it caused the transitional period to be unpleasant and hostile. Today, when older people think back and try to remember what things were like before “women's liberation”, they usually mistakenly remember the unpleasant hostility of the transitional period during the 60's and 70's rather than the time before it. (They must try to remember back before 1960.)

They also remember the deceptive unrealistic propaganda saying that any man who insisted that men should always be the leaders over women was automatically labeled as some sort of oppressive villain. Can anyone remember the offensive names they used to call the men who insisted on always being the leaders of women? Those names included a false connotation that those men were automatically labeled as arrogant and abusive against women, when in truth most men, back then, were loving and protective of women. (Most men in those days liked to spoil their wives.) Especially the men who insisted on always being the leaders were loving and protective of women. This accusation against them was false. Those deceptive offensive names were used during the unpleasant transitional period, not before it, nor after it..... and now forgotten.

Amazingly, one of the propaganda words that continues in use until today is “womanizer”. Strangely, in the dictionary this word is defined as: “[to make effeminate](#)”. In modern times, the word “effeminate” is most commonly used for feminizing men. However, in respect to women, why should it be considered inappropriate to feminize a woman? Why should people think that it is somehow bad to make a woman into a woman? ..... and at the same time if someone makes a woman out of a man, nobody ever says anything wrong with that. This abnormality is the start of Sodomism. It is cursed by God. It is a big fat Titanic. Absolutely, it’s going to sink. And, most of the people will die in the process, just like on the Titanic.

But some people take the offense of the word “womanizer” to the extreme case, and assume it is in reference to mistreating a woman in some way. (They never say it is mistreatment to “womanize” a man, it is only mistreatment when a woman is “womanized”.) It is assumed that if a woman is really treated as a woman, there must be some sort of abuse involved. They don’t want to say it this way, but they are converting women into men. It is true that previously women used to be in inferior circumstances just because they were women. But, so were children. Children are still in inferior circumstances, just because they are children. But, that does not automatically imply abusiveness. Most modern parents like to spoil their children, not abuse them. It is possible for a person to be in an inferior situation, yet not be abused, such as employer/employee.

Female leadership over men at home and in church meetings (including classes

and activities over men) is prohibited by God. [Titus 2:4,5](#) “That they may teach the young women to be..... keepers at home, good, obedient to their own husbands, that the word of God be not **blasphemed**. [Eph. 5:22](#) “Wives, submit yourselves unto your own husbands, as unto the Lord.” Church meetings: [1Tim. 2:11,12](#) “Let the woman learn in silence with all subjection. But I suffer not a woman to teach, nor to usurp authority over the man, but to be in silence.” [1Cor. 14:34,35](#) “Let your women keep silence in the churches: for it is not permitted unto them to speak; but they are commanded to be under obedience, as also saith the law. And if they will learn any thing, let them ask their husbands at home: for it is a **shame** for women to speak in the church.” Why is female leadership over men a “**shame**” (disgrace) to men? Because God himself established that those leadership positions are, by their very nature, MASCULINE! It is a disgrace to manhood for men to be forced under female dominance. (Getting a divorce is better than a man having a dominant wife. God himself is more pleased if a man divorces his wife rather than if he continues in a marriage where the wife is dominant over her husband. See article listed below on: Correct Divorce.) Furthermore, a dominant wife absolutely must be excommunicated from the church, if the church wants to be faithful to the Bible. [Tit 2:4,5](#) “That they may teach the young women to be ..... keepers at home, good, obedient to their own husbands, that the word of God be not **blasphemed**.”

Notice – the female dominance in the work place is not forced by women, it is forced by abnormal men who put women in positions over other men. Those men should be castrated by the men who they forced to accept female dominance, with the word CASTRATED branded on their foreheads, so that everyone will know that they put a woman as a boss over other men. They should also be denied adult status in society, they should not be allowed to own property or vehicle, vote, have a family or any other thing prohibited for children.

However, it is not very realistic that any men in real life would do any kind retaliation against such injustice. This thing is so intensely disgraceful to manliness that most men cannot even admit to themselves what it really is. For many years they have been in the habit of sugar-coating it by calling it something that it is **not**. Nonetheless, men need to stand up and organize themselves and refuse to accept this discrimination. One way that is much more practical for the men to resist is the same way that Gandhi resisted the tyrannical British government in India. (See 1982 film: Gandhi.)

Gandhi’s methods were also put into practice by Martin Luther King in his fight against racial discrimination. Try to imagine if the black people had never protested against the discrimination against them. Nothing ever would have changed. As long as the men refuse to protest the discrimination against them, it will never stop. But this type of discrimination is too disgraceful to even admit that it is real. So, most men just sugar-coat it by calling it something that it is not, and pretend that it is not what it really is.

The men who submitted to “women's liberation” when it first got started were **not**

being “noble”, or being “gentlemen”. Women's liberation is a **shame** and perversion to manliness, a **disadvantage** to women (the same as an “employee's liberation” would be a disadvantage to employees, which would cause the worst economic disaster in the history of the world)..... and it is also **blasphemy** to the Word of God! [Titus 2:4,5](#) “That they may teach the young women to be sober, to love their husbands, to love their children, 5- To be discreet, chaste, keepers at home, good, obedient to their own husbands, that the word of God be not **blasphemed**.”

In modern times, the young men who now grow up into adulthood under these conditions usually have difficulty even knowing what “manhood” really is. They also usually don't “grow up” inwardly at all. They usually have a lack of maturity. They act like boys, not men. They are forced to always have a “mommy” figure over them telling them what to do, thereby inhibiting their normal development into manhood. When men go to work and are forced to have a woman for a boss, there are definite and clear negative consequences; those men never grow up. Normally, their clear inferiority of performance at work would be blamed on the management. But, when the manager is a woman, you can't say anything against it. It's **taboo** to speak against feminism.

Obviously, it can also be safely concluded that any other leadership positions over men are, by very nature, EXTREMELY MASCULINE! (This does not include leadership positions of women over other women or women over children.) Examples: at work (all levels and departments over men), in adult education (universities) or in the government (All levels and departments over men, from the position of president all the way down to the drivers license clerk who gives men permission to drive). This is a true definition of masculinity, by nature itself, which was established by God..... And this used to be the normal way of life in America, before the “women's liberation” movement started. (The age of adulthood is 20, not 18, nor 21. [Exo. 30:14](#), [38:26](#), [Num. 14:29](#)) (nor 13 as in the Jewish Bar Mitzvah (בר מצוה))

When women act masculine, by taking leadership positions over men or refusing to accept all male authority, there is an unnatural absence of true femininity, which causes numerous serious abnormal consequences, like corrupt family conditions, especially abnormal physical desires. Normal physical desires do not function normally when women act like men. Many people can't seem to figure out if they're more attracted to the opposite gender, their own gender, both or neither, without ever knowing why. Of course, they usually have a mental understanding that being “normal” means to be attracted to the opposite gender, but that understanding is usually unimportant to them.

Or, in some cases a woman who acts like a man is attracted to a man who acts like a woman, which is even more perverted than people being attracted to their own gender. Now that the abnormal consequences of “women's liberation” are really here, most people refuse to recognize what caused these conditions. But, even if they re-

fuse to accept the true cause, they cannot hide the fact that the modern epidemic of these abnormal conditions started shortly after the "women's liberation" movement began. The time factor cannot be denied.

The present society in the US brainwashes people from childhood that men and women should always do the same things, thereby minimizing any distinction between masculinity and femininity. This produces a deliberately fabricated artificial and unnatural environment, pushed on the US society by a certain group of influential people. The very essence of the nature of masculinity is that it excels to take the leadership role over femininity the same way that managers in businesses automatically take the leadership over employees. (When women act dominant, everybody knows that they are acting "macho". Why should there be any confusion over what the nature of masculinity is?) Management must take the leadership over the workers. If it doesn't, the business won't be successful..... And there's nothing selfish or egotistical about that, it's normal and natural.

But in modern times normal masculinity has become **taboo** for men, due to this abnormal communist brainwashing..... And most people deny that the abnormal consequences of doing this thing are due to the real cause. After a while, the abnormal consequences seem normal, people get used to them. People deny that the abnormal consequences of modern feminism are really caused by feminism. Satan has put "feminism" on the agenda, so the people follow along.

The modern mass of propaganda motivating people to live without any self-control in their romance relationships is another aspect of the communist influence, intended to destroy marriage and normal family life. They are trying to get people to live more like dogs that roam the streets, and mate with whichever dog is convenient at the moment.

Even in nature itself, when most species mate, the male usually imposes himself by force on the female (almost like rape). (But most of the females like it that way.) Most species could not even reproduce (exist) without this masculine attribute. Of course, among human beings this natural masculine attribute is expected to be kept under control and practiced only in a loving way within "marriage". Marriage is one of the characteristics of human beings that separate us from animals. But, male dominance within proper marriage should **not** be considered "rape".

Husbands should always be in control, in a loving way, of their marital mating activities. Amazingly, those communist people in the government who have set out to ruin marriages have actually made a law which permits wives to deny their husbands their marital duty and labels the husband as a criminal rapist if he insists on his wife performing her marital duty. **1Cor. 7:3-5 "Let the husband render unto the wife due benevolence: and likewise also the wife unto the husband. 4- The wife hath not power of her own body, but the husband: and likewise also the husband hath not power of his own body, but the wife. 5- Defraud ye not one the other....."**

Those satanic people who are deliberately intent on destroying marriages are always butting-in where they don't belong. This type of corrupt law, and others like it, has to be fought in the legislature. But, most Americans are too comfortable to be bothered with doing anything about this type of corruption, yet their marriages are systematically being destroyed and they can **not** figure out why..... Neither will they lift a finger to protest the true cause of the problem. Nor will they even recognize what is the true cause of the problem.

---

Years ago, it was always included in the wedding vows that the wife must “obey” her husband. Men should automatically take the lead, in a loving manner, with true and sincere goodness towards their wives. It is not normal that a man goes to work and some abnormal higher male supervisor forces him to have a female boss. (Notice – this thing of female dominance over males at work is not forced by females. It is forced by abnormal men under the influence of satanic communist propaganda, which was established to destroy faithful Christian and normal customs..... and drag as many souls to hell as they can.) The communist fight is against God. But, their propaganda says that they’re just trying to make men and women equal, and stop abuse. Lies, lies, lies.

Besides this corruption, there are other perverted men who openly accept female bosses with great delight, as though they love to have a female dominate them. Those men ought to be publicly ridiculed and punished. Taking delight in this perverted freak behavior marks them as instigators of corruption. (This abnormal behavior must **not** be permitted to continue another generation. They need to be castrated and denied any adult status in society.)

The deceptive satanic communist propaganda, that has been pumped into the US for more than a hundred years, which says that, any man who believes that men should automatically assume the leadership role over women is always tyrannical, is no truer than to say that any parent who automatically assumes the leadership role over their own children is always tyrannical. Are bosses at work who automatically assume the leadership role over their employee's **always** tyrannical? Certainly not! In other countries where employers always pay very little, the workers might think that employers are always tyrannical, but it doesn't have to be that way. (It must also be noted that even in America, wherever there is an abundance of employees available, such as favorite cities where an abundance of immigrants like to come, there is a tendency that many employers are abusive and tyrannical, because the employees are easily replaceable in those places.)

Are teachers at school who automatically assume the leadership role over children **always** tyrannical? Certainly not! But, if it does happen that there exists a teacher who is tyrannical over students, does that mean that the solution to the problem is to make children as the bosses over all their teachers? Certainly not! Likewise, it is

incorrect, out of place and abnormal for women to be bosses of men.

The truth is, that back in the days when it used to be automatically assumed that men take the leadership role over women, **most** of those men wanted to spoil their wives the same way that most parents like to spoil their children. (Keep in mind that spoiling anyone is not good for them. Proper good training for the improvement of character is what is best for both wives and children.) (See article listed below on: The Virtues of the Spirit.) MOST men did not abuse women, in those days. What kind of a sick mind would propagate or even believe such a thing? MOST men loved and protected women.

It is the communists who have the reputation for being abusers and tyrants. Yet, their propaganda worked. Eventually, they got people to cooperate and follow that false corrupt propaganda. It's amazing how gullible most people are, even supposedly "intelligent" business managers with degrees from universities have swallowed this type of deceptive propaganda.

Most women would love a truly masculine man, if they ever had the opportunity to meet one. True masculinity, that automatically takes the lead in a loving manner with true and sincere goodness, makes most women "love-sick". When women are exposed to normal men in a normal environment they get very "love-sick", emotional, fickle and irrational. In extreme cases, true masculinity even makes some women so "love-sick" that they get hysterical to the point of being completely incapable of "professional" work..... or in some cases even incapable of any work at all. This is one of the reasons why, many years ago, women were not given "professional" positions, nor were they permitted entrance as students on equal standing with men into any of the universities, in the US. Back then, women were also paid less than men for doing the same type of unskilled labor. (See article listed below on: The Role Of Women Throughout History.)

Nowadays, true masculinity for men is considered **taboo**..... and most men have been trained from childhood to be afraid to stand up against that **taboo** false propaganda. Men are prohibited from excelling with a healthy competitive spirit to strive to do their best, thereby changing and lowering the normal development of the character of men. Nobody can develop leadership abilities in their own character without practice. No man can become a good leader if he gives away that role to someone else..... to anyone else, man or woman.

There is also a regular tendency among the men who accept women as their bosses to remain childish and immature in character. The very nature of manhood cannot progress until it overcomes the presence of a motherly figure telling him what to do. Female bosses over men is an abnormal custom and causes a stifling in the normal development of men into manhood, especially when that motherly figure (female boss) is sweet and lovable. Some people jump to the conclusion that I'm talking about offensive rude female managers. No. The case that is the most destructive to the development of manhood is when the the female manager is sweet, lovable and de-

sirable.

No man can become a good leader if he gives away that role to someone else..... to anyone else, man or woman. Nature itself dictates that men cannot develop into manhood with a female authority figure over them. Likewise, when a man is an adult he must also overcome the authority of his own natural mother. A man will never be mature until he refuses to accept his own natural mother's authority over himself.

(2Chr 15:16)

Most modern men are underdeveloped and they rarely reach their maximum potential. Men are not what they naturally would be in a **normal** environment. Therefore, it is inappropriate, unreasonable and incorrect to make any comparison of performance between men and women under such abnormal twisted conditions.

Now-a-days, there are some women who notice a difference when they make a comparison. Especially, young women who have never seen any other condition besides the modern abnormal suppression of manhood. They might say something like, "women always do everything better than men do". But, they never observe that all of those men under their scrutiny have been taught from childhood to submit to female authority. Normally, if a supervisor gets such bad results from the people he is supervising, (like a boss or the president) those women would say something like, "it's all his fault, he's a bad leader." But, when the supervisor is a woman, they throw the blame on the men being supervised, instead of on the leader. This is a hypocritical double-standard. (one standard for women and different standard for men) In some extreme cases it's even a form of mental illness.

Another negative side-effect is the bad influence on other women who are not so capable to do professional work. Those other women end up being problematic trouble makers, usually wanting and demanding leadership where they are clearly not competent, especially in marriage. The question is not a matter of whether a woman is capable of doing profession work if they get sufficient education. God made them so that, by nature, they are supposed to be in a different role in society than men are. The fact that it is an inferior role does not change the fact that it is nonetheless what they were designed to be. A foot is designed to be on the bottom of the body. But, if the foot doesn't want to be on the bottom, that doesn't change the fact that if it was a hand, it wouldn't work so well. A foot doesn't belong at the end of an arm, it belongs at the end of a leg, where it was intended to function best. The fact is that, professional educated women are a negative influence on nonprofessional women. This negative side-effect has produced a very high divorce rate in the US.

Many years ago, women were not permitted as students in universities. Back then, people understood the negative effect of giving women high positions in professional work. This isn't just a matter of whether a particular woman is capable of passing tests in university classes. There are many different areas of life that are effected by having a woman in a position which is unnatural and inappropriate for them. In the end, it's got a bad future. Modern "feminism" is just like the Titanic, soon to hit an iceberg. Sad to say, people won't change until the ship is sinking. But then, it's too late.

Modern “feminism” is blasphemy against God. It is cursed by God.

There still remain a few areas of life where the difference between men and women is unmistakably impossible to cover up and falsify. Due to the suppression of true manliness, (and hypocritical double-standards) in modern times, there are only very few areas of life that a reasonable comparison of the performance between men and women can be made.

In orchestral music, for example, male musicians **never** reach their maximum potential when they have a woman (bossy) director. (This is not the same as a woman “beat keeper” who merely operates like a mechanical metronome and doesn’t really boss the men around.) Even among those modern abnormal weirdo perverted men who **delight** in propagating female bosses over men, they are not capable of producing outstanding music. They can't do it. Please, notice that this is **not** a comparison of the performance of male musicians vs. female musicians. Nor is this a comparison of the performance of male directors vs. female directors. Nor is this a comparison between male vs. female composers or orchestrators. (Even though composers are almost always men. And orchestrators are **never, never, never** women. But that's not the subject under discussion.)

The subject under discussion is the low performance of men whenever they have a female (bossy) director as compared to the unmistakably higher performance of men when they have a male director, whatever that work happens to be. (Most orchestras have mostly male musicians with fewer female musicians.) **ALL** of the best orchestral music has been recorded using male conductors. Male musicians with female conductors **don't** excel. Their recordings are **never** top sellers. (Absolute 00.00%.) **All** movie producers who want an orchestra as background music **always** use male conductors.

When people hear orchestral music with a female director, without even knowing who the director is, most people think that music sounds inferior. Test it out! Try playing orchestral music without knowing who the conductor is. Without knowing who is conducting, most people do not like the music with any female conductor in comparison to the top selling male conductors. Is there any musical group in any type of music that has done well with a bossy female leader over men? Never!

This is like the old “Pepsi challenge”, back in the 80's. On TV commercials they showed how they gave people two little cups, one with Pepsi and the other with Coke ... and without knowing which was which, most people preferred Pepsi. This forced Coke to change their recipe until they could “win the challenge”. As a result, now we have both regular (new) Coke and Coke Classic (original). They still make the original because some people still demanded the original. Outside of America “Coke classic” is rarely seen. Americans are very picky. Outside of America the only places that sell “Coke classic” is where it just happens to be convenient, it just happens to be “on hand” at the time.

This does not mean that all women are always bad directors. Neither does it mean that all men are always good directors. The subject under discussion is the low

performance of **men** whenever they have a female boss at work, whatever that work happens to be. (When male orchestra directors produce bad music, it is usually due to them permitting the musicians to concentrate too much on the written sheet music in front of them, which produces a dry mechanical unfeeling robot style, which is rarely heard in other types of music where no written music is used at all. Those orchestra directors should require the musicians to memorize the music and play it with no written sheet music in front of them.)

It should also be noted that a woman director who stands up front merely keeping time would produce a much different effect on men as compared to a woman director who is constantly giving orders. A woman boss who just lets the men do their work the way they already know how, without disturbance will get almost the same quality work as if the boss was a man. This is especially noticeable in female movie directors who just let the male actors do their own thing as compared to female movie directors who are constantly ordering the men around. It's the bossy women who get the bad results from the men. And, as with women orchestra conductors, it's difficult to tell whether or not the woman is actually a boss or is merely keeping the beat, and pretending to be a boss. (This type of fake propaganda is very effective at making dummies out of smart people. And in some cases, they might even falsify a female manager just for show as propaganda to promote feminism.)

Women bosses who really take command over men will **never** "win the challenge". They can't even "change their recipe" as Coke did to "win the challenge". However, try putting a woman director over an orchestra of **all** good female musicians. (Which probably has never been done before.) Now, **they** would stand an excellent chance of becoming top sellers and sounding very good on the radio, as well as background music in movies and could possibly "win the challenge" against male directors who let the musicians concentrate too much on the written sheet music. (The musicians should have their eyes on the director, not on the sheet music in front of them.) Can you imagine what a public orator would sound like if he was slowly reading a speech word-for-word from a piece of paper, as compared to speaking with a normal conversational style, while keeping his eyes on the audience?

---

Someone might ask, what about the present advancement in technology? Doesn't all this advancement have something to do with changing the social position of women? No. The advancement in technology is specifically related to the removal of the age old mentality that technological advancement is bad somehow. (See article listed below on: Angels are Aliens, Aliens are Angels.) Before this last century, there used to be a very common mentality among many people that advancement in things like technology and other higher intellectual pursuits produced arrogance, immorality, anti-religion and vanity. The most well known case of this was the suppression of the "Illuminati", centuries ago. Another example was Galileo, who was almost executed because of his talk about advancement in science. Whereas, the case of Leonardo da

Vinci was very different because he took precautions to hide his work. He wrote his findings in a language that the other people where he was could not understand. And he did other things to hide what he was doing.

Technology gets people to trust in themselves instead of trusting in God. It promotes anti-religion, immorality arrogance and pride. After all, no matter how much technology advances, death will still be with us. Trusting in technology produces the same natural human reaction to trust in ourselves as trusting in money. Most rich people are far from God. It was the rich church in Rev. 3 that was called “lukewarm”, and was rejected by Jesus Christ. The modern custom of having a “research and development” team in companies is the real cause of technological advancement.

Most people, in modern times, don't even know that the reason very little technological advancement was made for thousands of years was that, in those days, the concept of technology was considered bad. The people back then thought it produces a very anti-religious mind-set and it produces immorality..... And now that both technology and immorality have been on the rise simultaneously together within the last century, most people still do not consider those two things to have anything to do with each other. Nor do they consider the general immorality of our present society to be in any way a danger of any kind.

The real effect of the modern social change for women is best understood that if it wasn't for this influence, modern technological advancement would have been noticeably faster. Bossy women over men have been slowing it down. All the men under the leadership of bossy women do inferior work. This does not apply to women bosses over other women.

This doesn't change the fact that in every basket there is an occasional bad apple. Or, the fact that in a basket of bad apples there is an occasional good apple. Many people use the existence of those occasional exception cases as their main point to deny the reality of normal success conditions. (This is another double-standard. It is very common that some people use occasional rare cases, as though they were the majority cases, to believe in an incorrect conclusion.)

And what about sports? Why is it so well understood that a men's professional football team must have a man for a coach? And why are all the high-stress executive jobs in big competitive companies always men? Most modern companies have to invent low-stress executive positions like the director of “human resources” in order to put a woman into an executive spot. High-stress leadership is too heavy for women.

Well, what about the British habit of having a woman as prime minister? Answer: that's a modified position, just like the director of human resources has been modified. They don't modify it like that for men. The men are bending over backwards in order to falsify the abnormality of having women in such positions. It's kind of like how when a professional woman gets pregnant. Everybody knows that it is an extreme inconvenience to a company to have a professional person take a leave of absence for several months. But, it has become part of the modern custom for the men to make special extremely difficult arrangements (bend over backwards) in order to maintain this mo-

dern inconvenient and irrational custom.

They all know that special inconvenient arrangements have to be made in order to keep women in positions that they don't belong in. Another example is having a woman executive take time off for taking care of their children, whereas, if a man executive attempted to do the same thing he would be very quickly informed that such a thing is not permitted.

And, some of those women executives love to run men in circles because they want to see how high the men jump when they snap their fingers. Most of the people in those situations could go on-and-on for hours describing how abnormal and different it is having a woman in one of those positions, but they would only talk like that if they were honest and unnoticed. You know, if any man were to ever say anything against feminism, then he would be labeled a woman abuser. In modern times it is **taboo** to speak against feminism.

Even in daily performance of such profession work, the blatant obviousness of the impracticality of having a woman in a man's job cannot be denied. Despite the twisted conditions, every man who works with a woman in such a position recognizes how different it is as compared to having a man in the same position. Yet, if a man were to ever say anything against it, then he would be labeled a woman abuser. The truth is that most men are gentlemen, and treat women better than they deserve.

The modern agenda (feminism) dictates that any woman who wants to be a man must not be hindered. And they have been trained from early childhood that if they want to be happy, then they have to do everything men do. But, make no mistake..... it is not the least bit harmful to a woman if she is denied the abnormality of being a man.

Under the conditions mentioned previously, a true side by side comparison of performance is impossible to make. Comparing the performance of men at professional work to women at the same professional work cannot be done under these abnormal conditions. All men under bossy female leadership do inferior work. What can **only** be compared, in real life, is the performance of women to the performance of altered, abnormal men. Men are not the same as they normally would be under normal conditions. A man who is forced to accept a woman boss is not a normal man, and his performance can never be the same as it would be under normal conditions. Comparing a woman to a man who is forced to lose is not a real comparison.

Another illogical condition for comparison would be a situation where a woman boss is not really bossing anyone around, like a woman movie director who is supposedly directing experienced male actors. In reality, apart from giving the order to start and stop the camera for each scene they are not really telling the actors how to do their job. In that case, the actors are more like independent contractors who already know how to do their job, and do it automatically. This is more comparable to a woman home owner who contracts a construction company to make an alteration to her house. She can request certain results for the project, but everybody knows per-

fectly well that in regards to the way they perform their job, it is absolutely understood that she must butt-out. If she was to start getting bossy in the actual construction process, those men would be incapable of doing their normal high-quality work.

Those supposed women movie “directors”, who get a good performance, know that they have to let the men do it their own way. If a woman movie “director” was to actually “direct” the men, they would only get negative results. Of course, in a case when the content of a movie was to show men acting childish, obviously a woman director would do the best job at that.

Even among those perverted men who want to promote this type of abnormality, they know perfectly well that they can’t get better results with a bossy woman director. Even though they know it’s incorrect, unnatural, freaky and inferior, they still promote it anyway. (The word “inferior” is not intended to be insulting to women; it simply indicates the obvious low level of productivity of the men whenever they have a woman for a boss. If a woman feels that she is well loved, she wouldn’t feel offended by such things. On the other hand, if a woman does not feel loved, the solution is not to convert her into a man. Women need lots of love, they don’t need to be converted into men..... problem solved.)

Human nature was designed by God. God designed men to need male leaders in order to perform their best. God says: [1Cor. 11:3](#) “..... the head of the woman is the man.....” Women bosses over men should be considered like freaks on display in a freak show, like the Elephant Man was..... right next to a bearded woman. (Don’t forget that people who have physical abnormalities need love, too. But, this doesn’t mean that women should be converted into men.) And, the idea of having female bosses over men should be treated like same gender romances. The male bosses who put those women over other men should be castrated by the men they have disgraced, and have their adult status in society denied. (Including/especially marriage and raising children.) There must not be another generation with this type of deformed behavior.

Nowadays, men are expected to be “gentlemen” and let the ladies go first. But, in all other areas of life, everyone understands that there is a big difference between a leader being courteous, caring and respectful (being a gentleman) to subordinates, as compared to a leader **giving away** his leadership position to a subordinate. It is perfectly possible for male leaders to insist on keeping their leadership position and, at the same time, maintain gentlemanly and courteous treatment to all of their subordinates. Maintaining all male authority over women is not any more abusive than adults always maintaining authority over children, or than employers always maintaining authority over their employees, or teachers always maintaining authority over their students, or coaches always maintaining authority over their team players.

Before “women’s liberation”, most men were **not** abusive to women, despite the abundance of dishonest satanic communist propaganda which has been pumped into

the US by anti-Christian people for more than a hundred years now. (On the average, it happens more often that women act more ungentlemanly “abusive” toward men than that men act that way to women. It is the women who are the rude, discourteous ones, when they get in a position of authority.) But, most men cannot admit this because it is so extremely disgraceful to manhood. So, they go on sugar-coating it by calling it something else. Somebody should stick a sign on their back that says “kick me” with an arrow pointing down to their rear end.

In many movies about the era when men were always the leaders over women, they typically misrepresent and falsify how things used to be. Most movies are very inaccurate about history. Remember, those movie producers are in the entertainment business. They're not in the historical accuracy business. In addition, most movie producers follow a “communistic” philosophy. They have been a big part, for many years now, of the leadership in the communist over-throw of the old lifestyle.

The loss of true accurate historical understanding is primarily due to the satanic communist people who are the leaders in historical education, who have so effectively erased any history that does not conform to the modern “standards”, that most people in America don't know what life was like even a hundred years ago in America. Among the few people who decide to start making an honest examination of true history, when they see it for the first time, it doesn't seem real to them. The old American lifestyle seems more like a false fantasy that has no bearing whatsoever on modern reality. The changes are extremely astonishing. It cannot be denied that the force which caused these enormous changes must have been very powerful and enduring for many years now..... this is due to the influence of undercover satanic communist leaders who operate like “wolves in sheep's clothing”. (See article listed below on: Wolves in Sheep's Clothing.)

Women, in modern times, are brainwashed from childhood to pursue what was previously thought to be “masculine” roles in society, without even knowing or caring that those roles used to be considered **taboo** for women. As a result, when they have abnormal problems in their romance or family lives, they can't figure out why. Even highly intelligent women can't figure out why. Even professional psychologists can't figure out why. (This comment is not intended to insult women. It is intended to take note that women need loving husbands to guide them and protect them from dangerous errors. The purpose of this comment is to motivate women to overcome the deception..... and to be winners instead.)

Nowadays, the concept of a “masculine role” exclusively for men is usually **taboo** for men. Most of those “masculine roles” have been forgotten. In other words, nobody can remember the true difference between masculinity and femininity. However, even though it is possible to train women to become such things as, for example, bodybuilders and develop huge muscles like male bodybuilders, it doesn't mean that it's something that ought to be done. It isn't beneficial, necessary, nor is it normal. Even in modern movies that like to propagate macho acting women, female bodybuilders are not

popular. The general public doesn't like looking at them. Even the modern female bodybuilder sport competition has been discontinued because of lack of popularity.

Try to image an American professional football team made up of only female bodybuilders with huge muscles. (Notice that outside of the US, in every other country around the world, the word "football" refers to what Americans call "soccer". Soccer was invented in England, so the British call it "football" and the rest of the world calls it "football". Outside the US, almost everyone (except Canada) calls the football that's played in America, "American football".)

Try to image a new professional American football "rule" stating that all of the men's professional football teams have to play against a team of women bodybuilders and lose at least half the time (like they do in real life when men are applying for any high paying professional or management jobs). (Hey, wait a minute, forcing someone to lose in sports is unsportsmanlike conduct. It's a form of cheating. Yeah, it most certainly is, and that's exactly what they're doing every time they put a woman as a boss over men, cheating.) After only a few years of losing football games to the women body-builders, the men would have no more reason to build up those huge muscles, and most of the men would probably not even want to or care about playing football anymore. Most of them would completely lose all their motivation to play.

After only a few more years, the new "rule" that ordered the men to lose to the women bodybuilders would no longer be necessary. The men would no longer have those big muscles, would no longer be motivated to play their best and would LEGITIMATELY lose to the team of women bodybuilders, just like how men always do inferior work when they have a bossy female supervisor. The women bodybuilders would REALLY BE the best professional American football players. Also, notice that the men who continue to play and seem to enjoy losing to the women ought to be considered weirdo abnormal freaks, just like those weirdo men who seem to enjoy having women bosses at work or seem to enjoy having their wives dominate them. (Most abnormal "freaks" of nature need to be loved, just like everyone else, but this type of abnormality should be thought of as open rebellion against God, and deserves punishment.)

The women fans, who used to get very emotional over those big strong men, would no longer be romantically interested in them, because those men would not be big and strong anymore. They would be weakling men who won't stand up against feminism. It might seem logical that the women fans would probably be more romantically interested in the big muscular women who look more like men than the men do. However, in real life, those women fans normally don't like looking at big female bodybuilders and just lose interest in both genders. Either that or it's probably more common that those women fans would start to get romantically interested in normal-looking non-muscular femininely shaped pretty women. Or.... if they happen to be one of those women trained to act like a man, then they usually get attracted to a man trained to act like a woman. Opposites attract, you know.

But, most importantly, the children who grow up observing this phenomenon would think that this is the way things always are naturally, just like how people do now

concerning education and professional jobs. Children would grow up thinking that big muscular female professional American football players who usually beat the men are “normal”. They would think that women are naturally better than men at professional American football in the same manner that most people, now-a-days, think that women are at least equal to or better than men in academics, professional jobs and business management.

It’s right about here that some of the younger women start to notice that most women seem to perform better than men in almost everything. Of course, they pay no attention whatsoever to the fact that those men they are comparing themselves to are under a woman boss. Even if their present boss is a man, that doesn’t matter. They have been trained to accept women bosses..... and their mental status is in accordance to that. Besides, you never know when the boss could change, so the men have to be in a mental state to accept whichever the boss is, man or woman.

The fact of the matter is that when most men have a woman for a boss, they can’t perform as normal men. Forcing a man to have a woman for a boss affects their minds. They start to either think and act like a child, or in some cases they start to act feminine. If a male boss got this kind of bad performance from his employees, rest assured, those women (the young ones who think women are superior) would blame it on the male leader. But, when it is a woman boss who gets poor performance from the men she’s supervising, they (the young ones who think women are superior) always put the blame on the men. This kind of double-standard is a type of mental illness. They always perceive men as the cause of every problem. Indirectly, it’s true that men are indeed at fault for these problems, because it was men who put those women as bosses over other men.

It is not any more necessary to train women in authoritative academics than it is to train women to be huge bodybuilder football players. (“authoritative” means any academics in which their diploma would give them the right to exercise authority over men.) It isn’t beneficial, necessary, nor is it normal. It causes abnormal problems. Most people can’t understand what’s causing those problems or how to solve them. Even professional psychologists can’t figure out how to resolve any problem caused by modern feminism. It is **taboo** to speak against feminism.

Women used to be denied entrance into universities as students. How much less could they have been teachers or administrators over adult male students?

Remember, education is not good or bad by itself. It’s how you use it that makes it good or bad. It is neither good nor bad to educate women. But, using education to turn women into men is wrong and it causes abnormal problems. People have not learned how to educate women correctly, yet..... it is good for women to learn good things as well as it is for men to learn good things. But, they have to learn how to apply those good things correctly.

---

Please, notice that this has absolutely nothing to do with whether any particular

person is capable of doing the job. In 2Chronicles chapter 26, King Uzziah incorrectly took it upon himself to burn incense in the temple of God. God selected the priests, the descendants of Aaron, to do that job. It was such an easy job that even a child could have done it correctly. It was nothing more than lighting some incense and spreading the smell around the temple. But the question is not whether a person is capable, but whether a person has been selected by God to do it. God did not select the king to do that. Therefore, it is rebellion against God. It is cursed of God.

2Chr. 26:16-21 "But when he (Uzziah) was strong, his heart was lifted up to his destruction: for he transgressed against the Lord his God, and went into the temple of the Lord to burn incense upon the altar of incense. And Azariah the priest went in after him, and with him fourscore priests of the Lord, that were valiant men: And they withstood Uzziah the king, and said unto him, It appertaineth not unto thee, Uzziah, to burn incense unto the Lord, but to the priests the sons of Aaron, that are consecrated to burn incense: go out of the sanctuary; for thou hast trespassed; neither shall it be for thine honour from the Lord God. Then Uzziah was wroth, and had a censer in his hand to burn incense: and while he was wroth with the priests, the leprosy even rose up in his forehead before the priests in the house of the Lord, from beside the incense altar. And Azariah the chief priest, and all the priests, looked upon him, and, behold, he was leprous in his forehead, and they thrust him out from thence; yea, himself hasted also to go out, because the Lord had smitten him. And Uzziah the king was a leper unto the day of his death, and dwelt in a several house, being a leper; for he was cut off from the house of the Lord:" KJV

---

If the man doesn't take the lead, the woman takes it automatically. There is no middle ground. With respect to leadership there is no such thing as "equality". Not in any aspect of life. The modern myth that a husband and wife can share the leadership equally is an absurd, irrational and a low intelligence propaganda lie that has actually managed to deceive many people who, by modern standards, are smart. It's as dumb as the idea of electing two equal presidents. By denying God ordained male leadership, you get super bitch women. Even people with college degrees believe in this dummy propaganda lie.

This phenomenon is so disgraceful to manhood that it cannot even be recognized for what it really is. The old racial discrimination against blacks was much easier to recognize, face and overcome than this. Most modern men cannot even confess what it really is, much less face it and overcome it. It's so much easier to sugar coat it and call it something else and sweep it under the rug. But, when men do this they increase the problem. The super bitches get stronger when men run away from them like cowards.

All this kind of reminds me of the opening start of the old black and white Superman TV shows. "Faster than a speeding bullet. More powerful than a locomotive. Able to leap tall buildings at a single bound. Look,..... up in the sky, it's a bird, it's a plane,

..... it's Superman. Yes, it's Superman. Strange visitor from another planet who came to earth with powers and abilities far beyond those of mortal men. Superman,..... who can change the course of mighty rivers. Bend steal in his bare hands.....”  
(See the old Superman shows on YouTube.)

When men surrender their leadership to the women, the women become like super bitches (mother dog). The more the men act like weakling cowards, the stronger the bitches get, almost like supernatural visitors from another planet..... Look! In the manager's office. It's a man. It's a woman. NO. It's ..... SUPER BITCH!!!

Louder than a grenade blast. More irritating than a mother dog (bitch) continually barking all day long. Able to crumble the plaster right off a wall with a single growl. Able to reverse the course of a locomotive with a single nag at the engineer. Able to make an entire professional football team run away like cowards with a single snarl. Seems like an alien from another planet with powers and abilities far beyond those of mortals. But no.....

It's ..... It's ..... It's .....  
S-S-S-U-U-U-P-P-P-E-E-E-R-R-R B-B-B-I-I-I-T-T-T-C-C-C-H-H-H!!!!

---

Some people might say: “why should a man be afraid of a little competition from a woman?” This isn't competition; it is forced surrender (failure). The men are ordered to lose, not by women, but by an abnormal **taboo** created by brainwashing propaganda that the gullible masses of the dummy public who can't figure out the danger of the whole thing. These are people working for Satan, who all of the Christians should recognize as the bad-guys. But they don't. They follow the **taboo** more than they follow the correct teachings of the Bible.

When applied to marriage, it causes absolute failure and/or perversion. If this was applied to business, it would be an “employee's liberation” movement. It would cause the biggest economic disaster in the history of the world. After all, why should business management be afraid of a little competition from employees? Why is it that people are capable of figuring out that a little competition from employees would ruin a business, but they can't figure out that the competition from the “women's liberation” movement has already caused many big fat disasters, especially in regard to normal romance and marriage? Modern feminism is the number one cause of the modern super high divorce rate, drug addiction, alcoholism, suicide and sodomism (same gender romance and same gender marriage, which is cursed by God). God has established that men are supposed to be the management of the women. To say the opposite is **blasphemy** against God.

Strange, but most of the time very successful women usually have horrible ro-

mances anyway. Independent successful women, even apart from the issue of the “women’s liberation” movement, have rarely been successful in romance. Their romances tend to be especially bad when they are rich and famous. The song “You light up my life” was a good example. (That was the 1977 #1 hit song in America.) They even made a movie about that song. In the movie, a rich and famous young and beautiful woman singer had to say goodbye to all normal romance in order to become famous with that song. (So.... who was the person, in the song, who lights up her life? ..... Nobody. In her case, it was money and fame that lit up her life. So, she had to ignore the consequence of loneliness and zero romance.

Yet, she sang that song with such good sounding emotion. You would have thought that she had someone really special. But, it was the love of money that gave her such emotion.) There have been several films about this theme. (examples: “Notting Hill” in 1999, “Funny Girl” in 1968, ‘You light up my life” in 1977) Sometimes, that type of film tells the truth about it, but sometimes they lie about it. Most successful women are independent, lonely, unhappy and miserable.

Despite the fact that they’re earning more money, they always want more, always more, always more. No quantity is ever enough. Almost our entire society has been caught up in the new religion of “**love-of-money**”, which a lot of people have become obsessed with, especially in American churches. 1Tim 6:10 “**For the love of money is the root of all evil: which while some coveted after, they have erred from the faith, and pierced themselves through with many sorrows.**” Funny thing about this type of “**love-of-money**” religion, no matter how much money they have, it’s never enough. They always want more, always more, always more. No quantity is ever enough.

Even if a successful woman is not rich and famous, she still seems to have difficulty finding normal romance. If she does find a man who learns to live with her success, she is rarely happy with that type of man. If he’s not rich himself, then he’s the type that wants to sponge off of her. She usually perceives him as a wimpy loser. And if she’s really lucky and finds a man equally as successful as herself, then they always have one of those “independent” marriages, that ends up being no better than two “independent” roommates living together, which normally ends in separation (divorce). The “independent” roommates always end up going their separate ways. True marriage is a form of “unity”. But, “unity” and “independence” are opposites of each other. You cannot be both at the same time. As long as women want to be “independent”, they should NOT imagine they could have quality romance at the same time.

---

Notice how in most (probably all) of the universities in the US, the testing system has been deliberately designed to favor the mental characteristics of women. It’s very strange.... most men recognize that something is weird about those tests, but they do not really know why they’re weird. None of the women, on the other hand, notice anything at all. In fact, most women think those tests are a good method. No woman ever notices the abnormality of those tests. They have a perfect score: absolute zero. Not

even one woman has figured out that the testing system has been artificially altered to help them get better grades. Women always get better scores on those kinds of tests than men do.

Please notice, this is a special case in which examples are not necessary. Any normal man who has been to any university in the US already knows about this phenomenon. All normal women who have been to any university are incapable of perceiving it. And any abnormal man who likes to promote feminism won't be truthful about it anyway.

At American universities they literally twist the testing system to favor female mentality. In universities, they want to help women pass the classes as much as they can. They also want to make it look like women are smarter than men. But..... it is a possibility that since those tests seem somewhat irrational, illogical and irrelevant to the material being taught, maybe the cause of the difference is due to them being created by women professors. (Women professors used to never exist.) The mere fact that they focus on minor and unimportant aspects of the material being taught demonstrates that whoever created those tests was abnormal. (Keep in mind that this type of testing system may not exist in other countries. But, it is very popular in the US.) Well, regardless of who is creating those tests..... all of the universities are using them. That type of testing has now become a standard in the US.

But somehow even very intelligent women are not capable of figuring out that those tests seem irrational, illogical, immaterial and irrelevant to the material being taught. The best that can be said is that they are "majoring on the minors". The small unimportant aspects of the learning material is what those tests are made of. So, the women get better test scores than the men do. Yet, they can't figure out how all this has led to disaster. (This comment is not intended to insult women. It is intended to take note that women need loving husbands to guide them and protect them from dangerous errors. The purpose of this comment is to motivate women to overcome the deception..... and to be winners instead.) Dangerous, like the big dog mentioned above, licking his chops, just waiting for that little hamster to get out of her cage. How is it that even very intelligent women can't see the big dog? This demonstrates why God ordained that women, even highly intelligent women, need to be under the supervision and protection of men who love them. That is, normal men who are not trying to convert women into men.

Women who were educated in universities have been trained to have bodybuilder brains. Yet, they are still incapable of understanding that this is all to their **disadvantage**. Notice that the first sin in the Garden of Eden was the pursuit of "knowledge". The serpent deceived Eve by telling her that the **real** reason why God prohibited the forbidden fruit was: "...that in the day ye (y'all) eat thereof, then your eyes shall be opened, and ye shall be as gods, knowing good and evil." [Gen. 3:5](#) The name of the tree was: [Gen 2:17](#) "But of the tree of the **knowledge of good and evil**, thou shalt not eat of it: for in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die." How about that?! The first sin ever committed was the pursuit of "knowledge" [education].

What is going on in modern times that passes for high level education and professionalism for women is really very far to the extreme freakish, perverted, weird and deformed. (It is not necessarily harmful as long as those women do not exercise authority over the men.) Modern education for women is equally as queer, unnatural and **unnecessary** as an American football team of women bodybuilders who play against the men. Any normal man ought to be turned off by it.

But instead, many men try to convince themselves that they like it this way. This is because those men know that, regardless of how abnormal this phenomenon is..... it's on the agenda..... Satan's agenda. It has to be that way. So, there's nothing they can do to stop it. And therefore, it's better to just accept it and learn to live with it, rather than resisting it and being miserable. And, they've gotten used to it, being raised with it. The phrase "on the agenda" means that it has been ordered by the big boss. Everybody knows who's calling the shots. (Everybody except for children and a few innocent/naive adults.)

It is, in no way, shape or form abusive to women to prohibit them from having positions of authority over men. Furthermore, most women will be much happier not being in those positions, even if they have to earn less money. (provided their pay is reasonable) They will also begin to experience superior romance. Men will start acting like men again. Women will start to get love-sick again over men who act like real men.

---

And..... how many times is a male awarded valedictorian?..... Especially in high school, how many male valedictorians are there in the entire United States? In the last 50 years, very few..... maybe none. (Especially because intelligent boys usually get low grades in "Physical Education". Whereas intelligent girls usually get good grades in P.E.) In high school the grading system is extremely biased in favor of girls. However, in high school it is not due to an altered testing system, it's mostly due to personal grading favoritism by the teachers, especially in ambiguous classes like "English", "Art", "Music" and "Physical Education", which are easily graded at the whims and favoritism of the teacher. Sometimes, when a teacher is grading a composition in English class, for example, they don't even read it if it was written by their favorite girl student; she gets an "A" automatically. Whereas, a boy would get a B on a composition of the same quality..... and the teacher would very carefully read it to mark down all of his errors. So in the end, which student is better off, the girl who gets an automatic "A" or the boy who learns how to correct his mistakes?

But then, how could it be known that the teacher didn't even read the composition from the favorite girl student? Because that composition has errors on it which were not marked as errors by the teacher. In fact, none of the errors were marked. Well, in the end, the "B" student would be able to correct his errors and thereby excel his writing abilities, whereas the favorite girl student flies through her classes with a false sense of self achievement by receiving dishonest grades. You might think that

she would be shocked when she gets out into the real world and finds out that she isn't so good after all. But, not in America. America is where professional women get selected first for high position jobs due to modern feminism. And so it goes on. And the whole time, those women can never figure out that they go through life in an artificial fraudulent environment. Believe it or not, all of those high position jobs have been artificially altered in order to distort the modern perception of feminism. It's all a big fake system that none of the women are ever aware of.

---

As a part of the same package of "feminism", most modern professional women have also been trained to minimize the respect for "motherhood". Especially during the unpleasant transitional period, in the 60's and 70's, motherhood was treated as something of lesser importance. It was a common theme in movies and TV shows to have a young female character who showed an intensive dislike to motherhood, as though it was something repulsive. Most little girls grow up with a natural desire to play with dolls, especially baby dolls; they like pretending to be mommies. It's truly amazing how an influence that seems so small and insignificant (those media programs) could have such a tremendous affect on almost every female, so that the majority of women lose interest in being mothers and start acting like men. The result has been a massive unstable culture in which young people who have had insufficient "motherly" love frequently turn to drugs, alcoholism, crime, mental illness or purposeless suicide. There is a big difference between suicide with a purpose as compared to suicide without a purpose.

The propaganda deceivers don't have to even worry about convincing anyone to take the bait; the victims will fill in that blank for themselves. It's kind of like dangling a carrot in front of a horse on a cart in order to get the horse to move. All the propagandists have to do is to get the women to believe that it's better to be a man instead of a woman..... and voilà, the victims will invent their own excuses to follow the deception. They're as good as fish in a barrel.

Even highly educated women can't figure out that they have been brainwashed into thinking that if they were normal "mothers", they would be unhappy. Then, like gullible fish that bite a hook, they follow the deceptive brainwashing and become men. (No insult intended, the purpose of this comment is to motivate women to overcome the effects of the brainwashing ..... and to be winners instead. The real intent of those bad people who have been deceiving them must be recognized; the "big dog" must be acknowledged and dealt with properly. How come the women can't see the big dog?) And they can't figure out that they would have been much happier as mothers than as being converted into men. Even now-a-days, long after the transitional period of the 70's, most parents think that if they're going to do the best for their daughters, they have to get them to go to college. Somehow they think it would be inferior/bad for them if they were mothers/housewives instead.

It has never been seen before, in the history of the US that most of the large companies regularly require **everyone** in the company to take a drug test, even the administrators. The divorce rate is **much** higher than it was before “women's liberation” started. Most women are **less** happy in life, especially family life and romance, than they were before “women's liberation” (feminism). Most people refuse to believe that “women's liberation” (feminism) has anything to do with these abnormal problems, but the truth is that it is: “cause and effect”. But, even the people who deny it is “cause and effect” cannot deny that the abnormal problems started shortly after the “women's liberation” (feminism) movement started. The simultaneous timing factor cannot be denied.

Deep down, most professional women are **not** as happy in life as the non-professional women doing common labor jobs. (Provided their pay is reasonable.) Most modern professional women would trade their macho jobs for a quality relationship with a truly good man. But, despite their higher education, they can't figure out that they can't have both. Neither can they find a good man. The men have all been trained since childhood not to act like normal men.

It is not possible to be “independent” and “united” at the same time. Modern “independent” professional couples are not really “couples”. They're more like “independent” friends who live together..... like roommates. “Unity” and “independence” are opposites of each other, but despite their higher education, they can't figure out that they can't be “united” and “independent” at the same time. (Can you imagine living in the “Independent” States of America? What would it be like? Would the states start fighting with each other like the typical American husband and wife do?)

It is also not possible to have a good romance when both the woman and the man are acting masculine. It is even more abnormal when the woman acts masculine and the man acts feminine. The “women's liberation” movement has already been a **disadvantage** to women equally as much as an “employee's liberation” movement would be a **disadvantage** to employees. An “employee's liberation” movement would cause the worst economic disaster in the history of the world. Normal romance has been impaired by modern feminism. Why can't people figure out that the “women's liberation” (feminism) movement causes problems? People are very much capable of figuring out that an “employee's liberation” movement would cause problems.

Wives are supposed to be primarily: [Titus 2:5](#) “.... keepers at home, ....”, which means that they should first be “housewives” and “mothers”. Years ago, many men who married a working woman would order the wife to stop working and be exclusively a housewife. (This was especially practiced after the US government eliminated the laws of “coverture”.) (See “coverture” in the article listed below: The Role Of Women Throughout History.) (See article listed below on: Marriage Misunderstandings Explained.)

However, if housewives happen to have a little extra time, there's no harm if they

work part-time on the side. (Prov. 31:10-31) The only women that should be working full-time are women with no children. (That is, when the husband doesn't have enough income to support the family, or if the woman is single. This means that wives should not work full-time just for the sake of having lots of extra money.)

Divorced mothers have no authority from God to take the children away from their fathers. (See article listed below on: Fatherhood.) And when the government butts-in where they don't belong and forces the fathers to give up the children to the mothers, the result is **always** bad. The men become wimpy doormats in front of their own children.

And, rest assured, the mothers can't figure out why they have such a bad time of it. Sometimes, it even goes so far that the mother gets on the phone and asks the separated father how to fix the problems. How about that!?! She can't figure out that it was her leaving her husband that caused the problems in the first place.

There are numerous other problem situations in life that women commonly find themselves in (such as what was mentioned above: bad family conditions, divorce, no normal romance, drug addiction, alcoholism due to bad family conditions, mental illness and purposeless suicides among teens.), but somehow women are not capable of perceiving that many of those problem situations are a direct result of the "women's liberation" (feminism) movement. How is it possible that people can understand that an "employee's liberation" movement would cause the worst economic disaster in the history of the world, but they can't understand that the "women's liberation" (feminism) movement has already caused many big fat disasters?

God created women to feel the happiest, most secure, loved and protected when they live within God's will of all male supervision. Most professional women who are such things as doctors, lawyers, business administrators or politicians would be much happier in life and feel much more fulfilled if they were mothers and housewives instead. "Motherhood" is the highest value a woman can be, as a valuable asset to society. The satanic communists want to get the mothers out of the way so that they can raise the children any way they want. (Brainwashing. Remember the hamster and big dog mentioned above. Women can't see the big dog. They need a good man to see it for them.)

The enormous quantity of out-of-control and emotionally unstable children and adolescents is the consequence of most mothers putting more importance on their high-paying careers than spending the necessary time needed to be real mothers to their own children. By spending a lot of time at work they have indirectly informed their own children that having a prestigious macho profession and/or making lots of money is more important to them than their own children. Or, maybe it could be stated much simpler: **love of money**. 1Tim. 6:10 "For the **love of money** is the root of all evil....." (It should probably be mentioned that the high quantity of out-of-control and emotionally unstable children and adolescents is much more a problem in the US, as compared to most other countries.)

This is part of the propaganda (brainwashing) that has been teaching everyone that “motherhood” is kind of like the insult of being a “nurse” instead of being a doctor, or like the insult of being a “secretary” instead of being a business executive. (All of that deceptive propaganda is doing a lot of insulting.) Now-a-days there is a shortage of nurses and most people are embarrassed to even use the word “secretary” anymore. They call it: “administrative assistant”. Yet, the work is the same as it was before. The work they do is equally as important and necessary as it was before they changed its label. And why did they change its label? Is it really necessary to say it? Do you really have to be told?

Of course this is the result of all that mass of satanic communist propaganda that brainwashes women to think that if they had a lowly job like a secretary, nurse or, God forbid, the horrible state of being a housewife, they would be some kind of insignificant nobody who is not competent enough to do anything of real value. All that satanic communist propaganda (brainwashing) has disrespected “motherhood” and taught women that if they don't rise above womanhood and become men then they're existence is insignificant.

They are saying that “motherhood” is meaningless and inferior! Ooooooh.... that word.... “inferior”, now that's a powerful word. That word gets women motivated to rise above being a woman. It gets them to become men. The result has been a whole generation of unstable children and adolescents who have had insufficient motherly love, that usually end up getting into trouble or having some other type of abnormal problem due to an insecure lack of motherly love. Regardless of the obvious sick-mindedness of this propaganda many highly educated women are following it anyway.

The fact of the matter is that “motherhood” is more important than a woman getting a prestigious high-paying professional macho job. The supposedly intelligent women in those macho jobs are the ones who have been deceived. They are the true losers and they can't figure out why. Despite their higher intelligence and education, they can't figure out why they're unhappy. (No insult is intended. The purpose of this comment is to motivate women to overcome the deception..... and to be winners instead.)

Those “macho” women will also never know true romance with a man who acts like a real man, because those women have been brainwashed to act like the man in whatever relationship they have. Modern “feminism” teaches women to act like men. Modern “feminism” has impaired normal romance between women and men, yet intelligent educated professional women can't figure it out. It seems real easy to understand that a woman who acts like a man should not expect to have a normal romance with a normal man at the same time. The only type of men who are available to them are abnormal men who don't act like real men. How come they can't figure it out? (No insult is intended. The purpose of this comment is to motivate women to overcome the deception..... and to be winners instead.)

There have never existed so many people trained in psychology and Christian

education, who are supposed to be able to solve the present epidemic of problems of mental and emotional instability, yet these problems get worse and worse every year (due to the fact that they refuse to acknowledge that modern feminism is the main cause of those problems)..... And those quack psychologists and Christian leaders, many times, are having those same types of problems themselves.

It doesn't take very much perception to see that if this trend doesn't stop progressing in the direction it's going, eventually the entire social system will collapse, either on its own, like a ship with a hole in its hull, continuously filling with water.... or with some unexpected problem, like the Titanic's iceberg. (Which should not have been unexpected.) What kind of a person would not notice their own ship continually filling with water? A drunkard? What kind of a person would go full speed at night in an ocean full of icebergs and not expect that they might hit one? A lunatic? A mentally ill person? Rest assured, if they were going half-speed, they wouldn't have sunk. And.... while going half-speed at night, they would still have reached their destination in less time than anyone else. Not only that but with only one headlight out the front, similar to any car headlight, they would have seen the iceberg from a long way off.

Obviously, in the fields of psychology and Christian education, **all** American universities and colleges are hopelessly incompetent quacks and are hereby disqualified from giving diplomas in these fields of study. How is it possible these people can understand that an "employee's liberation" movement would cause a big fat disaster, but they can't understand that the "women's liberation" (feminism) movement has already caused many big fat disasters?

The modern **taboo** to speak against feminism has overthrown their thinking process. It should also be noted that if the psychologists really did start healing people from their mental and emotional illnesses, those psychologists would start losing much of their income. Without a doubt, they're making a lot of money off the people who come to them for help. Why should they heal them and turn away income? But, maybe that's not fair. Satan has put feminism on the agenda..... And people are just doing what they're told..... following Satan..... all the way to hell. So..... is it fair or isn't it fair? (See article listed below on: Salvation.)

Why do they call doctors who don't know what they're talking about "quacks"? When a bunch of ducks walk together, each duck is waddling back and forth with its head held high as though he is very important. The "self-important" waddling itself is very comical. As they waddle along with an air of "self-importance", each duck tries to quack louder than all of the other ducks so that what he says will sound more important than any of the other ducks. But, none of the ducks are ever listening to what any of the other ducks are saying. Each duck wants to be heard above all the others. The quacking sound itself that the ducks make is very comical.

It would be a good idea if the people in the artificial duck call business (like Duck Dynasty) could make a musical duck call, like a clarinet, a real musical instrument,

properly tuned so that it could produce real music with the comical sound of an artificial duck call. (Who knows, maybe they could make a duck call mouthpiece that could fit right on a clarinet or saxophone.)

Laughter, if it is applied correctly, can have very significant healing properties; it's very therapeutic. (People in low spirits don't heal as well as people in high spirits.) (Technically speaking: The American Journal of Medicine has found that **laughter**..... "increases secretion of catecholamines and endorphins... which in turn increases oxygenation of the blood, relaxes the arteries..... speeds up the heart, decreases blood pressure..... which has a positive effect on all cardiovascular and respiratory ailments ..... as well as overall increasing the immune system response.")

Those "quack" doctors would get a lot better results if they played a musical duck call for their patients..... of course, they'll have to be sure to play it very loud so that everyone will think they really know what they are quacking about. They could also teach their patients how to play quack songs, too. The song "pop goes the weasel" would be particularly therapeutic. The third stooge Curly turned into superman when he heard that song. (See Curly on YouTube, search for: The Three Stooges 002 Punch Drunks In Color) To quote the great philosopher/comedian, Moe: "**in music, there's strength**".

One time there was a real Chinese man who had the name "Quack". He was studying at an American university for his doctorate degree in computerized artificial intelligence. How about that!?! A real Dr. Quack! An expert in fake intelligence.

---

Notice how, (exclusively in the last few decades) in movies and TV shows, it has become popular to show women with attractive femininely formed bodies fighting like men or doing macho activities like men, but **without** those unfeminine huge bodybuilder muscles necessary to do the job. Somehow, many people don't (like to see)/(tolerate seeing) women with those bodybuilder muscles, yet at the same time they enjoy watching a woman acting macho, but with a well-shaped pretty feminine body. Even more amazing is how most men don't like to talk about it in conversation (they don't even want to admit that it exists), but they seem to feel comfortable/enjoy watching women acting super macho in movies; even watching a woman beating up a man. And they want to see more of it.

Years ago, movie producers knew that a sure way to get everyone to laugh was to show a woman bossing around a man. Well, they can't use that to make anyone laugh any more..... And men don't even want to admit that this disgrace exists. They don't even want to talk about it. Especially they don't want to talk about the shameful manner that the women do it. Yet, they seem to enjoy sitting and watching it in a movie. Amazing..... many men watch it, but in conversation they won't admit that they watch it, much less admit that they enjoy watching it. It's a disgrace to manhood, but they don't seem to care, nor understand the mental corruption it causes. They can **not**

figure out the consequences of such mental contamination.

People seem to like to watch the false fantasy of women being equally as strong (or stronger) as men but without the unattractive huge macho muscles necessary to do the job. (Can you imagine a little car like the old VW Beetle with a tiny engine winning high speed car races? In 1968 they made a children's movie called: "The Love Bug". It was so absurd that it was comical. It was so funny because it was so unrealistic.) Yet, people can't seem to figure out that the unrealistic concept of a small and pretty woman being stronger than men is a false deceptive fantasy and a contamination to a normal human mind. (This isn't just deceptive fantasy; it's perverted and weird, converting women into men and men into women. Why is it so popular? Why don't people turn that junk off? Why don't people boycott the products advertised on those shows?)

This is equally as much a miss-representation of femininity as the false image they show of men always being tyrants before "women's liberation". (The truth is that it is the modern bossy women who are the disgraceful tyrants over men. See article listed below on: Fatherhood.) These are irrational perversions and everyone who wants to have a normal mind should avoid both deceptions. Why can't people understand the crazy consequences of letting their minds absorb that trash?

This unrealistic deceit of the true nature of femininity is a form of mental illness that causes people to have real mental problems. Mental illness doesn't just happen by itself; something causes it to happen..... And the US seems to be loaded with more of it than any other country. Sad to say, many foreigners immigrate to the US seeking economic prosperity, but they don't realize that they have to be "Americanized" and become mentally ill reverse gender sex pervers in the process. (And don't forget about the problems they will be having with their kids; problems they wouldn't be having if they hadn't come to America.) Before they come, they don't know that most Americans have already become mentally ill reverse gender sex pervers. The women are being trained to act like men and the men are being trained to act like women.

The presence of women on TV or in movies who take on any masculine role confuses most people's physical desires. Many of the people who watch that kind of stuff can't seem to decide if they're more attracted to their own gender or the opposite gender or both or neither..... without ever knowing why. In like manner, it equally confuses most people's physical desires when women are taught in universities to have body-builder brains, compete with men for high-paying professional jobs and are given positions of authority over men at work or as politicians in the government. (From the position of President all the way down to the drivers license clerk who gives men permission to drive.) Most of the people who have abnormal physical desires don't know why they have those desires.

What good is it if the human race obtains enormous advancements in science, medicine and technology, if they cannot overcome death? Why do so many people live as though they will never die? Why do people live as though they will never face God's judgment after death for their rebellious actions now? [Matt 16:26](#) "For what is a

man profited, if he shall gain the whole world, and lose his own soul? or what shall a man give in exchange for his soul?" [Heb 9:27](#) "And as it is appointed unto men once to die, but after this the judgment:" (see article listed below on: Salvation.)

In its day, the Titanic was the latest in technological advancement.... Yet it sank. Some of the people at that time said things like: it's "the unsinkable ship" and/or "God himself could not sink this ship". **BLASPHEMY!** Likewise, every society that blasphemes God with things like "feminism" **will** sink. (See article listed below on: The New World Order was Prophesied in the Bible.) Sad to say, most people won't change their bad conduct until their Titanic hits an iceberg.

It would have been a waste of time to try to get them not to board the Titanic in the first place. Try to imagine someone standing on the dock as the people were boarding the Titanic, warning people not to get on. Would anybody have listened? Certainly not. Out of the more than 2,000 people getting on, not one person would have listened. And after it hits the iceberg, while it's going down, rest assured, they would wish to ask the person who warned them how to stop it from sinking. Well, it can't stop. The only solution is to get on a life boat. Sooner or later, every **blasphemous** Titanic **must** sink!!! The writing is on the wall! (Please read Daniel chapter 5, which is the origin of the phrase: "the writing is on the wall".)

Don't forget, that the first sin that was ever committed in human history was a pursuit of "**knowledge**" (education). God had forbidden Adam and Eve to eat from the tree of "the **knowledge** of good and evil". [Gen 2:17](#) "**But of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, thou shalt not eat of it: for in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die.**" If they had not eaten of that tree, sickness, accidents, old age and death would not exist. We would all be living for eternity in paradise right now. There are people who think that education or learning are somehow always "good", "noble", maybe even "sacred" by their very nature. But there are some people who use their intelligence to do bad things to other people. In most of the modern super-hero stories, the villain is usually highly educated, using his high education for badness.

Knowledge and education are neither good nor bad in and of themselves. Knowledge and education can be used for good or they can also be used for bad. There are some people who think that education is always good, noble and honorable. It is God who makes the rules. If someone uses knowledge and education in a manner contrary to God's Word, then they are definitely using knowledge and education for badness. If women are given positions of leadership **over men** or if they are neglecting motherhood because of their education, then that education is definitely immoral and anti-Christian. When they do that, they are either disregarding God or saying that God is wrong. This is **blasphemy!**

They can also use their education for selfish gain and be very egotistical towards other people. There are many different ways that education and learning can be used for bad. The children who are raised in a home with such corruption always end up bad somehow.

Notice that both Adam and Eve sinned equally, but Eve was deceived and Adam was not. Adam ate the forbidden fruit for a different reason than Eve did. [1Tim. 2:14](#) **“And Adam was not deceived, but the woman being deceived was in the transgression.”** This is the primary reason why God placed the husband as the leader of his wife. The nature of women is that they are more easily deceived by Satan than men are; they can't see the big dog. The male leadership role was intended by God to protect women, especially from satanic deception. The “women's liberation” movement destroys that male authority and protection.

Many years ago, there was a custom that the women were obligated to either wear a veil over their heads or use long hair as a constant symbolic reminder of the authority of their husbands over them and as a constant reminder to be submissive and obedient to their husbands. [1Cor 11:10](#) **“for this cause ought the woman to have a sign of authority on her head....”** The husband is supposed to be both a loving leader **and** a protector of his wife. It used to even be included in the wedding vows that the wife was to “obey” her husband.

There is a big difference between “intelligence”/“education”, as compared to true “wisdom”. “Intelligence” is limited to only the few people who have high capacity brains. It is also important to recognize that “intelligence” can be used for either good or bad. It is also important to recognize that “intelligence” is always accompanied with some sort of weakness that causes it to fail under certain conditions; every superman must have his kryptonite. [1Cor 1:27](#) **“But God hath chosen the foolish things of the world to confound (dishonor) the wise; and God hath chosen the weak things of the world to confound (dishonor) the things which are mighty;”** [1Cor 1:20](#) **“..... hath not God made foolish the wisdom of this world?”**

There are some people who maintain the idea that “intelligence” and “education” are, by nature always something “good”, “honorable” and “noble”, kind of like how most Americans think that the president is always “good”. The idea that it was president Bush who knocked down the twin towers could never enter their minds. (see documentary: Loose Change, Fahrenheit 911, 911 Mysteries, Loose Change 911 An American Coup 2009, 911 In Plane Site)

Remember, the first sin in the Garden of Eden was the pursuit of “knowledge”. The serpent deceived Eve by telling her that the **real** reason why God prohibited the forbidden fruit was: **“....that in the day ye eat thereof, then your eyes shall be opened, and ye shall be as gods, knowing good and evil.”** [Gen. 3:5](#) The name of the tree was: [Gen 2:17](#) **“But of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, thou shalt not eat of it: for in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die.”**

But, true “wisdom” is not limited to people with high capacity brains and is always applied for the true best benefit of people, both in this life and in the next life. There-

fore, “wisdom” must also, by very nature, include a true and clear perception of God's salvation and purpose for this life and the next life. [Proverbs 3:13-18](#) “Happy is the man that findeth **wisdom**, and the man that getteth understanding. For the merchandise of it is better than the merchandise of silver, and the gain thereof than fine gold. She is more precious than rubies: and all the things thou canst desire are not to be compared unto her. Length of days is in her right hand; and in her left hand riches and honor. Her ways are ways of pleasantness, and all her paths are peace. She is a tree of life to them that lay hold upon her: and happy is every one that retaineth her.” [Proverbs 9:10](#) “The fear of the LORD is the beginning of **wisdom**: and the knowledge of the holy is understanding.” [James 1:5](#) “If any of you lack **wisdom**, let him ask of God, that giveth to all men liberally, and upbraideth (rebukes) not; and it shall be given him.”

---

A very influential system used by those satanic people to propagate the modern queer mentality of masculine acting women is the mass media (TV and movies), which seems to be even more influential at corrupting young people than the educational system. But, the worst satanic ringleaders of all are the corrupt church leaders, who claim to be faithful Christians, but refuse to teach and/or put into practice what the Word of God truly says. Christianity is supposed to have the answers, but **modern** Christianity does not. Most modern churches have satanic “wolves in sheep's clothing” for leaders. [Mat 7:15](#) “Beware of false prophets, which come to you in sheep's clothing, but inwardly they are ravening wolves.” (Also: [Act 20:29](#)) (See articles listed below on: “Wolves In Sheep's Clothing” **and** “The Lukewarm Church”.)

The Bible really does have the answers, but nobody seems to care. All of the churches are under Satan's spell. They're unable to break away from the corrupt twisted Bible interpretation of the satanic spy leaders. (See article listed below on: Wolves In Sheep's Clothing.) The few church leaders who are not satanic spies don't seem to perceive what's going on in their own churches and have turned lukewarm in the faith. Despite the fact that they are not satanic spies, they were usually trained by satanic spies and are not capable of recognizing the presence or the corrupt twisted Bible interpretation of the satanic spies who are among them. Even though they are not satanic spies themselves, they are equally as disqualified from being church leaders as the satanic spies are. (See article listed below on: The Lukewarm Church.)

---

Back in the 60's, many of the people who were against the “women's liberation” movement also thought that it would cause a considerable increase in “sodomy”, along with many other abnormalities. [Lev. 20:13](#) “If a man also lie with mankind, as he lieth with a woman, both of them have committed an abomination: they shall surely be put

to death; their blood shall be upon them.” They also had a fear that God would send a horrible punishment as a result of permitting such behavior. According to Professor Louis Crompton, Ph.D. on early American history, referring to this abomination..... “in 1776, in the original 13 colonies was universally subject to the death penalty”. However, later on, the penalty for this crime was reduced to long-term imprisonment. As time went by, little by little, the US government decreased the punishment for this crime to less and less time in prison. Eventually, they completely repealed all the laws against it. Now, speaking against this conduct is considered “politically incorrect”. (the reverse)

But, as a matter of fact, the consequences for this type of corruption is very similar to the consequences for communism. In modern times the “communists” have changed their name to “socialists” in order to deny that they will produce the same horrible results as ALL of the communist governments have done. There are no exceptions. ALL communist governments have always, always, always been super tyrannical governments. In the US, there is a boarder patrol that tries to prevent illegal immigrants from entering. But, in the communist countries they have boarder patrols that prevent their own people from escaping. In North Korea they even use electrified fences to kill anyone trying to escape.

However, when they first start out, both communism (socialism) and sodomism start out as what seem to be good people. They never become bad until they gain power. After the sodomites become powerful, all through history, they start doing things like forced gang rapes on other men. And, they have been noted for murdering anyone who speaks against them. It’s like the waves of the sea. When sodomism reaches a peak, wherever they are, the entire society has to force it down and make it illegal. Then, years later, when they’re the underdogs and don’t have any power, people can’t remember why such a thing should be punished with execution, so they let it start up again by removing it from the crime list.

After the “women’s liberation” movement got going, the quantity of cases in the US of “sodomy” increased enormously. Rest assured, these two things are directly related to each other >>> cause and effect. But now-a-days, few people in the US even seem to care anything at all about whether this behavior is right or wrong. Most people do not know that when the US first started, many of the laws regarding criminal behavior were based on citations directly out of the Holy Bible. The US books of law themselves had Bible quotations and references written in them. Lawyers were required to have a very good knowledge of the Bible in order to become lawyers. At that time, the Holy Bible was considered the primary authority on determining true morality and acceptable legal conduct. Lev. 20:13 “If a man also lie with mankind, as he lieth with a woman, both of them have committed an abomination: they shall surely be put to death; their blood shall be upon them.”

But eventually, all of those references to the Holy Bible were removed from the official US law books. Most of the biggest changes away from the Holy Bible, like per-

mitting women to vote (along with many other changes), were made in 1920, shortly before the Great Depression and the dust bowl. >>> Cause and effect? Certainly!!! (See article listed below on: The Suffering of the Great Depression.)

The “dust bowl”, in essence was God commanding nature itself to vomit the people out of the land because of their corruption. [Lev 18:28](#) “That the land spue (vomit) **not you out also, when ye defile it, as it spued** (vomited) **out the nations that were before you.**” (They called the dust storms “black blizzards” because they blotted out the sun so completely that it seemed like night time in the middle of the day. On Sunday April 14, 1935, the worst storm of all time struck, across the entire US, which people called, “Black Sunday”. (See article listed below on: The Suffering Of The Great Depression.) Internet search: dust bowl images) Remember, the storms hit at the same time as the economic crash right after the US government changed many laws away from the Bible, >>> cause and effect? Absolutely!!!

When America was young, they used to call this sin “the crime against nature”, “sodomy” or “buggery”. Both the words “sodomy” and “buggery” originally come from places or groups of people in past history who were noted for this type of abnormal behavior. It was not referred to by the more specific names in common use today. Most of the people back then were afraid even to mention it by a specific name. They thought the name itself was poison..... And they were right. The Bible never calls it by any of those specific names either. (Except incorrectly in some of the modern bad translations. See article listed below on: The Holy Scriptures.) [Eph. 5:12](#) “For it is a **shame even to speak of those things which are done of them in secret.**” [Eph. 5:3](#) “**But fornication, and all uncleanness, or covetousness, let it not be once named among you, as becometh saints;**”. Faithful Christians shouldn't use those words; even speaking those words is poison. It is the unmentionable sin.

Even in the book of the Song of Solomon, where physical affection between a husband and his wife is spoken of positively and blessed by God, the physical intimate details are expressed by using lots of indirect symbolism in order to describe the best recipe for the maximum marital physical experience. Even though marital affection was invented by God and blessed by God, it was considered inappropriate to talk about those things openly and directly; how much less “the crime against nature”?

It should be added here that any person who regularly commits the unmentionable sin, either for real in the flesh or in the lust of the mind, can always repent and turn to God and find complete forgiveness and salvation through faith in the sacrifice of the Lord Jesus Christ on the cross. ([Mat. 5:27,28](#) **Ye have heard that it was said by them of old time, Thou shalt not commit adultery: 28- But I say unto you, That whosoever looketh on a woman to lust after her hath committed adultery with her already in his heart.** In this passage only lusting after women is mentioned, but obviously a man having the same desire for another man would equally be considered as the intentions

of his heart.) The antidote for this behavior is to reverse the “women’s liberation” movement. Feminism is causing the problem..... and the removal of feminism is the solution.

God loves everyone and doesn't want anyone to perish. [Rom. 1:26,27](#) “For this cause God gave them up unto vile affections: for even their women did change the natural use into that which is against nature: And likewise also the men, leaving the natural use of the woman, burned in their lust one toward another; men with men working that which is unseemly (improper, shameful), and receiving in themselves that recompence (reward) of their error which was meet (fitting).” (Notice how a specific name for this sin was never mentioned in the Bible) (Also [Lev. 20:13](#))

[John 3:16](#) “For God so loved the world, that he gave his only begotten Son, that **whosoever** believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life.” God is very loving and forgiving, but He also insists on repentance. [Mar. 1:15](#) “The time is fulfilled, and the kingdom of God is at hand: repent ye (y'all), and believe the gospel.” [Luke 15:7](#) “... joy shall be in heaven over one sinner that repenteth, more than over ninety and nine just persons, which need no repentance.” KJV (Also read [Luke 7:36-50](#))

Nobody is guaranteed of tomorrow. (See article listed below on: Salvation.) In practice, the solution to overcome the problem of abnormal physical desires such as the “crime against nature” (= the unmentionable sin) is to reverse the “women's liberation” movement (feminism). The “women's liberation” movement (feminism) teaches women to act like men, which causes an unnatural absence of true femininity, which in turn causes abnormal physical desires.

The word “sodomy” or “sodomite” comes from the name of the city “Sodom”, in the land of Israel that was known for this type of abnormal behavior. In [Gen. 18:20 - 19:28](#) it says that God rained fire down from heaven and destroyed the city of Sodom and all the area around it, including the nearby city of Gomorrah. Abraham even refused to touch any object from Sodom. ([Gen. 14:22,23](#)) In the same manner that people should not use specific names for that type of sin, it is also a good idea not to even touch any object from that type of sin, as though it was contagious. ([Eph. 5:3,12](#)) The sickness of AIDS/HIV didn't just happen by chance; it is a curse from God.

In the 1960's, many people also thought that a “women's liberation” movement would cause a considerable increase in divorces (declarations of separation) and unhappy MCTs (Modern Corrupt Twosomes, which are corrupt “marriages” that shouldn't even be called “marriages”. See article listed below on: Marriage Misunderstandings Explained). Since the 1960's there has indeed been a considerable increase in unhappy/crazy MCTs, a considerable increase in divorces (declarations of separation) and a considerable increase in the unmentionable sin. But despite the fact that the “women's liberation” (feminism) movement happened at the same time that these extreme changes in the US culture started, most people in modern times refuse to believe there is any link between them or that there is any reason why they should

even be concerned about it.

The “women’s liberation” (feminism) movement was not only blasphemy against God’s Word, but it was also against nature itself. (Titus 2:4,5) IT IS ABNORMAL!!! It causes unhappy MCTs and it is a **disadvantage** to women equally as much as an “employee’s liberation” movement would be a **disadvantage** to employees. (Which would cause the worst economic disaster in the history of the world.) After the “women’s liberation” (feminism) movement was in full swing most women were less happy than before, that is, before the unpleasant crazy hostile transitional period. (Before 1960)

When women act like men, it does **not** produce happiness. God alone has the true recipe for happiness. If you obey the Lord Jesus Christ as a wife should obey her husband, the Spirit of God will give you deep down true happiness, even if your outward circumstances are unpleasant. You can also be assured that your happiness will continue into the next life as well. Jesus said: Mat. 11:28-30 “Come unto me, all ye that labour and are heavy laden, and I will give you rest. Take my yoke upon you, and learn of me; for I am meek and lowly in heart: and ye shall find rest unto your souls. For my yoke is easy, and my burden is light.”

According to nature, masculinity is automatically attracted to femininity and femininity to masculinity. But, if a society is trained (brainwashed) from childhood to accept a false understanding of the true nature of femininity and masculinity, then the natural attraction would be reduced. It would not be reduced to zero, however, except in extreme cases. It also affects different people to different degrees. Any leadership role **over men** at home, in church meetings, at work, in adult education or in all levels and departments of the government is, by very nature, EXTREMELY MASCULINE!!!

The people who prefer their own gender ordinarily don't do it because they consider their own gender more physically attractive than the opposite gender. They usually do it because the **character** of the opposite gender does not appeal to them. However, many people in this modern environment tend to have physical desires for both genders, while a few people have no physical desire for either gender.

Most people do not even notice the absence of femininity, which is caused by modern “feminism”, which teaches women to act like men. This “feminism” is the suppression of femininity for women and the suppression of masculinity for men. When a normal man desires femininity, but can't find it or even seem to recognize it, some of those normal men will turn to the most likely alternatives available, without ever knowing why. The unmentionable sin between men and children is caused by the unnatural absence of true femininity, which is caused by the “feminist” training of women to act like men. This is another abnormality that has risen considerably ever since the “women's liberation” movement started >>> cause and effect. The men who commit such things are usually thought of as being abnormal and criminal. But really, most of them would probably have been normal men if they were living in an uncorrupted society that had women who acted feminine instead of masculine.

In some extreme cases, the absence of femininity might even drive some men to violence or kidnapping, resulting in things like serial killers who primarily attack women or abduct children. Notice, how many times the authorities handling such cases are very macho acting women >>> cause and effect. It's hard to find such extreme hypocrisy any place else. "Authority" itself is macho. God has selected the man to be the leader.... the "authority". Freak behavior produces more freak behavior. How come people can't seem to figure it out? It's very obvious to a normal mind.

In the days when the unmentionable sin was punished by death or imprisonment, in the US, many people thought if that type of behavior was permitted, it would cause a horrible punishment to come upon everyone from God, similar to how God rained fire down from heaven and destroyed Sodom and Gomorrah. (Gen. 18:20 - 19:28) It should also be stated here that every society that openly permitted the unmentionable sin throughout history has always suffered disaster as a result of it.

Back in those days, most people believed that permitting this type of corruption was a major factor in the downfall of many past great empires, like the Greek Empire and the Roman Empire. But, few people know how much this corruption was in practice during the downfall of the nation of Israel shortly after the time of Jesus Christ. In the book called "Wars of the Jews" or "History of the Destruction of Jerusalem", by Flavius Josephus. (available at: <https://www.gutenberg.org/files/2850/2850-h/2850-h.htm>) In book 4, chap. 9, paragraph 10, Josephus says: ".....and indulged themselves in feminine wantonness (immorality), without any disturbance, till they were satiated there-with; while they decked their hair, and put on women's garments, and were besmeared over with ointments; and that they might appear very comely, they had paints under their eyes, and imitated not only the ornaments, but also the lusts of women, and were guilty of such intolerable uncleanness, that they invented unlawful pleasures of that sort. And thus did they roll themselves up and down the city, as in a brothel-house, and defiled it entirely with their impure actions; nay, while their faces looked like the faces of women, they killed with their right hands; and when their gait was effeminate,....." They killed anyone who spoke against them.

You see, the condition of the Sodomites is very similar to the condition of the communists (socialists). As long as they are the underdogs and in a minority, they act like nice people. In fact, they act so nice that most of the other people think to themselves that those people (sodomites or communists) are not so bad, and then they start to wonder why they were considered so bad by other people long ago. (That means, they can't figure out why Sodomism was made illegal.) Then eventually, they totally forget that the Sodomites conduct used to be punished with death. But, the truth is that whenever either of these two groups (sodomites or communists) rises to power, they **always, always, always** become horrible tyrants and murderers. Then, after the evidence of their evilness becomes unmistakable, society has to go back to the way they used to punish them before, with death. It's like the waves of the sea, which goes up and down, up and down. All through history the treatment of Sodomites has been this way. At first it was punished with death, then people forget why. Then they

remove the punishment and they start to seem like nice people again. It's a repetitive circular history that goes round and round. And, most people don't have any idea why both of these groups have something evil about them, which only is seen when they gain power.

In modern times, both of these groups are interrelated (sodomites and communists). The evilness of both of them has been forgotten. Never before has the communist (socialist) mentality (propaganda and philosophy) ever been as strong as now, especially in America. The modern changes in the American society, concerning life-style and li-beral thinking have all been the result of communist (socialist) satanic propaganda, which nobody seems to be aware of. In fact, most people think that all these modern new changes are "progress". The church ought to recognize it as evil and satanic, which will definitely produce horrible results. But almost all of the churches are too lukewarm to care, or even recognize the danger. The bad intentions of the communists (socialist) and sodomites are now completely ignored.

Shortly after the sodomite corruption in Israel, in about 70AD, the Romans conquered Israel with a relatively small army, and the few survivors were removed from that land, and dispersed in small groups throughout the Roman Empire. And, so they remained scattered all over the place until 1948, when Israel was reborn as a nation, after the holocaust in WW2, when Hitler tried to murder every last Jew he could find. (Josephus gives many details in his history.) (The Romans didn't need a large army because the Jews were not united and had no federal government, and could not raise a large army.)

Notice that, throughout history, in societies that have let this type of corruption run wild, the Sodomites have always had a tendency to get very aggressive, murderous and abusive. It is a common occurrence for them to gang rape other innocent people, as they tried to do in Gen. 19:4,5. (Note – Any person who reads this warning, and disregards it, is not "innocent". They will deserve the consequences they get for rejecting this warning.) When any society permits this corruption to be accepted, that type of corruption always gets out of control. As Josephus says, they even start killing people, anybody who opposes them. No society that has ever permitted this type of corruption has ever survived,..... never! The disaster that always goes along with the package is always severe enough to stop people from permitting it ..... afterwards.

The subject of "same gender" romance is not necessarily about the legalization of that type of marriage. It is about the unnatural human reaction to the practice of giving women dominant (macho) positions of leadership over men, like bosses at work over men or positions in politics. (From the position of president all the way down to the drivers license clerk who gives men permission to drive.) Gender role reversal alters most people's physical desires. Most people exposed to this abnormality don't know if they are more attracted to the opposite gender, their own gender, both or neither, without ever knowing why. Christians who read the Bible ought to know, but now-a-days they're all too lukewarm to notice or even care. (See article listed below on: The

Lukewarm Church.)

During the "Great Depression" and the "dust bowl" in the 1930's, many people in the US thought that they were indeed experiencing a judgment from God for the wicked conduct of the people during the 1920's..... the roaring twenties. (See article listed below on: The Suffering of the Great Depression.) (Internet search: dust bowl images.)

What caused the "Great Depression" and the "dust bowl"? Only nine years before the economic crash in 1929, the US government changed many of the laws regarding how women were treated, due to the influence of an abundance of satanic communist (socialist) propaganda (brainwashing), many of those laws were changed away from the proper teachings of the Bible. The year 1920 was probably the biggest legal step away from the Bible and toward satanic communism (socialism) in the history of America. It was the first wave of feminism.

At that time letting the women vote was something new, and everyone knew that it was part of the problem. In modern times, the thought that letting women vote would not even enter into people's minds, as far as being the cause of the problem. It's kind of like how the thought of the president being responsible for the attack on the twin towers in NY City would not even enter into people's minds. It's too extreme. Yet it's true. (See documentaries called: "Loose Change" and "Fahrenheit 911".)

It was claimed that those changes, in 1920, were supposed to make men and women more equal and reduce abusiveness. A big part of the propaganda was the claim that men were always automatically abusive to women. But in reality, those laws were extremely in favor of women, especially wives against husbands. "Equality" had nothing to do with it. The people who made those laws were not interested in "equality" or reducing abusiveness, they were interested in the destruction of male authority and eventually the total destruction of the family and marriage. They wanted to completely eliminate marriage and family altogether. So far, they have been very successful. Those laws motivate wives to fight against their husbands. Those laws have been very effective at ruining marriages and families.

This was the **beginning** of the "women's liberation" movement. Some people call it the first "wave" of "feminism", mostly consisting in legal changes. However, it has nothing to do with promoting femininity. It is the promotion of training women to act like men. In reality, it is the suppression of femininity and the perversion and disgrace of manliness. The most publicized legal change was that women were given the right to vote for the first time. (See article listed below on: The Role of Women Throughout History.)

Those legal alterations caused an immediate change in the general mentality among most of the people. Liberal attitudes like "do whatever you want" and "it's fun to forget about the old morals" were all of the sudden widespread. You see, it is a package deal. If people disregard one of God's rules, then they might as well disregard all

of them. They might as well go hog-wild. They want to see if they can get God to react, even if they have to die to see it. In [Num. 16:28-41](#) God caused the ground itself to open up and swallow the rebellious leaders who were against Moses, sending them alive directly down to hell. But the next day: [Num 16:41](#) “But on the morrow all the congregation of the children of Israel murmured against Moses and against Aaron, saying, Ye have killed the people of the LORD.” It seems as though the previous spectacle was so amazing that the people were willing to even spit in God's face to see if they could get Him to do it again. They were willing to risk their own lives just to see an “instant replay”.

The rise of excessive greed was another big change along with the new widespread liberal attitudes. Many people started to gamble large amounts of money in an unstable stock market. The “love of money” more than the love of God and decadent liberal “do whatever you want” lifestyle replaced the old good moral standards. It was called: “the roaring twenties”. It was a strange era of economic prosperity, liberal morality and many unusual problems, all at the same time. Economic prosperity is one of Satan's most effective tools at getting people to forget about God, especially in the age of the lukewarm church. (See article listed below on: The Lukewarm Church.)

Immediately after the stock market crash in 1929 the “dust bowl” started, which was when the land itself, especially in the mid-west of America, refused to produce food. It also got unusually windy, with extremely strong sandstorms. Much of that farmland started to have sand dunes, like in a desert. (Internet search: dust bowl images) Unusual desert sandstorms started to happen regularly, sometimes every day, in places that had never experienced that kind of thing before. Many farmers had to leave their farm land and look for work elsewhere. There was a shortage of food. Many thousands of people starved to death. God caused nature itself to react and reject the sinful conduct of the people..... And everyone knew it. God caused the land itself to **vomit** the people out. [Lev. 18:27,28](#) “for all these abominations have the men of the land done, that were before you, and the land is defiled; that the land **vomit** not you out also, when ye defile it, as it **vomited** out the nation that was before you.” (See article listed below on: The Suffering of the Great Depression.)

But, since there had only been nine years of corruption, many people still remembered the old good moral standards. Consequently, despite the fact that the government refused to repeal the numerous corrupt anti-Christian legal changes for women, there was a massive movement to put women back into their traditional role in society and prevent women from obtaining positions of authority over men. The progress of the **first** “women's liberation” movement (wave of feminism) had been almost completely stopped, despite the efforts of the satanic communists (socialists) to keep it moving.

Most historians refuse to account for the cause of this “valley” in the movement of feminism. They just point out the “waves” of movement without mentioning any reason for the existence of a “valley”. No further progress was made until the 60's.

How could this be possible? Such an extreme change in the entire society could not have taken place without a tremendous conviction in the conscience of almost everyone that “women’s liberation” was wrong! They all understood why the Great Depression came. WOMEN'S LIBERATION IS SINFUL!!! IT IS SATANIC!!! The leaders of the Satanic religion are women; commonly known as “witches”. Why? Satan has chosen women for leaders because God has chosen men for leaders. (See article listed below on: What About Deborah?)

In Christian churches with women leaders, those women leaders are really female “wolves in sheep's clothing”. (Remember Jezebel) They are liars who love corrupting the church. The “women's liberation” movement claims to promote equality, but that is not true. It may be moderately “equal” in the work place, as far as opportunity for higher macho positions for women, but in marriage and family life it is extremely biased against men.

Furthermore, in businesses that advertise that they are an “equal opportunity employer”, all, or almost all of the macho leadership positions are held by women. They imagine that “equal opportunity” really means to adjust the previous male domination by pushing female domination. So, in reality it isn't “equal opportunity” at all, it is: “up with women” and “down with men”, “up with women” and “down with men”.

After the economic crash in 1929, special safeguards on the banking and stock market industry were established by the US government to protect the economy, so that the same economic disaster would not occur in the future. Unfortunately, starting in the 1980's, those special safeguards for the economy have been in the process of slow and gradual removal by the US government. All of the modern “recessions” since 1980 were the result of the removal of those special safeguards, especially the recession in 2009. (See documentary available on YouTube: “Inside Job” 2010.) This is a very important factor concerning a future, and probably soon, economic disaster worse than the “Great Depression”. Oh, sorry, that's not a realistic comparison. The future will be more like the sinking of the Titanic. Most of the people will die. And, the American government and economy will no longer exist. The communists will rule over whatever is left. And, the survivors will probably wish they were dead.

In the 1960's, the **second** “women’s liberation” movement was started (or second “wave” of feminism), after most people had forgotten about the horrible consequences of the first one. But this time, special safeguards were used to “counter-balance” the negative effects and make it last longer. The word “counter-balance” means that if someone does something very bad, they know that God will usually let them slide-by if they do something very good to compensate for it. (Dan. 4:27)

Indirectly, the artificial implementation of those highly unusual “counter-balances” is a public confession that the people who are doing them really do know the “women’s liberation” movement would normally provoke a horrible reaction from God. The “do whatever you want” liberal thinkers are (secretly) the most aware of the absolute necessity for those out-of-the-way unusual outstandingly good “counter-balances”. (The

word “unusual” implies a comparison with normal human conduct throughout history. Nonetheless, those “unusual” counter-balances have now become “usual” in modern times, since the “women's liberation” movement started. People are now accustomed to them and consider them to be usual, normal and always part of modern liberality.)

In politics, the liberals are so famous for their unusually good “counter-balances”, that many people think liberalism automatically includes extraordinary goodness ..... which it doesn't. The liberals know that the “counter-balances” are absolutely required to keep them from experiencing the wrath (extreme anger) of God for their anti-Christian immoral conduct. The “counter-balances” are also necessary to get many weak-minded citizen's to follow along. Amazingly, usually the words “brain-washing” or “manipulation” scare people away, but this particular type of brainwashing gets them to follow it even after they are informed of its danger.

However, those good “counter-balances” only help in part. The consequences of mental illness, drug addiction, alcoholism due to unhappy family environment, unhappy MCT's, divorces (separations), abnormal physical desires, abnormal same gender romances and purposeless suicides as a direct result of the “women's liberation” movement (feminism) cannot be avoided by doing these “counter-balances”. The “counter-balances” only serve to keep God from taking action, and for brainwashing unsuspecting weak-minded people. (The phrase “weak-minded” is not referring to dumb uneducated people. The main dupes who have fallen for this system are college educated, with diplomas.)

Some of the “counter-balances” are:

- Common laborers in the US have been receiving good wages since the 1960's.
- In the 90's, President Clinton himself was seen preparing a large dinner for street people.
- Many charitable organizations have received a lot of support.
- Racism, which used to be the law in the US, is now at a minimum.
- On TV and in movies they show many ways of helping needy people or helping social misfits that were never publicized or even thought of before.
- Politicians enact laws to help the poor common people rather than just supporting the rich.

This is extremely extraordinarily good behavior which has never been seen on such a large scale in the history of the world. It is very much contrary to the usual and common behavior of people throughout all history. Many people have been deceived into thinking that liberalism automatically includes extraordinary goodness. But, it does not. All this seems too good to be true.

The good vs. bad balance of justice is being over-loaded with a heavy weight on both sides. There's extreme corruption on one side and extreme goodness on the other side. Will the balance itself break some day? Will the liberals ever stop doing those good counter-balances some day? What would happen if they stopped their

good counter-balances?

This new modern liberal life-style is usually thought of as being something better, “progressive”, as compared to the old way, which is thought of as being “primitive”. (especially feminism) Funny, that’s the same way of thinking that the Nazi propaganda in Germany was pushing about **their** new way of life, back at the start of WW2. The negative consequences weren’t seen until years later.

And what exactly does the word “liberal” mean? It has the idea of being “liberated”, kind of like the “liberation” of the slaves. Well, in this case, it is liberation from the old moral standards which were due to the influence of the Bible/religion. “Liberalism” is basically anti-religious, or anti-Christian; it is the very essence of modern communism, which is Satanic. The modern liberal “socialist” political party is nothing more than old rotten communism wearing a good-guy mask. And many Americans have fallen for it. Many Americans think that socialistic liberalism is something good. But, rest assured, the day is coming when those liberal communists are going to take off their good-guy masks and then we’ll see who they really are. All of the worst tyrannical communist governments always started by wearing fake good-guy masks.

Most people who vote “liberal” usually do it without having any idea about what the word “liberal” really means, or the consequences of it. They usually think only about the reputation of the liberals for helping the poor common people, as opposed to the old-fashioned conservatives who have a reputation of siding with the rich people and forgetting the poor people. But, in reality, the liberals don’t really do much more for the common people than the conservatives do, they’re just better at talking about it. They’re better at “convincing” the common people that they’ll do more. Their promises sound more convincing. But, how often do the promises of the politicians become a reality? Can anyone remember any cases? I would say, not many.

This is very similar to how the communists rose to power in Russia just before the Russian revolution. They were wearing “good-guy” masks back then, too. However, this good reputation of the liberals was not always the case, in America. Back in the 1920’s, when they were just starting this movement, they were pushing their liberalism without any regard for goodness. This produced the crash of 1929. So, it was necessary for them to tack-on this extra goodness, to put on their mask, which also produced the effect of attracting a lot more people who had no idea that the modern liberal movement was nothing but sugar-coated communism with a “good-guy” mask in order to deceive the unsuspecting gullible masses. Both the liberals and the communists are trying to do away with the old-time standards which originated from the Bible, since the beginning of America.

The old standards were established by God from the Bible for admittance into the kingdom of heaven in the next life. This life is temporary, the next life is permanent. This life is only a test for God to decide what to do with each person in the next life. Well, modern liberated women don’t care about the next life. They say, “Forget about the next life”. They say, “Forget God”. They got what they want right here and now, in this life. (It cannot be denied that this movement is very anti-religious. It is equally as

satanic as Naziism was back at the beginning of WW2.)

And why is it even openly accepted among the liberals the name “left”, as though they openly know that their movement is somehow sinister, and they themselves accept a name like “left” admittedly and willingly. That’s almost as bad as the liberal Democrats, in US politics, who openly accept a donkey (ass) as their official party symbol. They know that there is something wrong with it, but they accept it anyway. Am I claiming that the Democrats are the bad guys and the Republicans are the good guys? Not at all. The present Republicans are equally as “liberal” as the Democrats were 20 or 30 years ago. This thing is a movement in which the satanic spies have infiltrated everywhere. The spy corrupters are active everywhere you go. And..... the worst ones are most of the leaders in modern Christian churches.

Ever since Bush's 9-11, terrorism has been going slowly up and up..... or, many times, it's not so much terrorism itself that has been rising, but the **thought** of terrorism (and war) that is constantly shown in the news, on TV shows and in movies. This is “psychological terrorism”. Before Bush's 9-11 many people in the US had a general mentality that somehow America was immune from being attacked like that..... and the idea that the US government itself could be responsible for such an attack, like Bush's 9-11, would never have even been imagined at that time. (See documentary: Loose Change.) How is it possible that the US government itself could be responsible for doing such a thing, like Bush's 9-11, to US citizens? Answer: ask Bush. Maybe the US is still immune from attacks from the outside, after all. Bush's 9-11 was an attack from the inside, not an attack from the outside.

Terrorism and war are part of the curse that goes along with rebelling against God's rules. This “curse” cannot be separated from the “women's liberation” (feminism) blasphemy. Unfortunately, extremely bad things, like terrorism and war, have to happen before most people will turn away from the corruption of the “women's liberation” anti-Christian immoral blasphemous way of life. The “women's liberation” (feminism) movement is a big fat Titanic that absolutely **will** sink! The writing is on the wall! (Please read Daniel chapter 5, which is the origin of the phrase: “The writing is on the wall”.) America **will** fall!!! IT MUST HAPPEN!!! The writing is on the wall!!!

The deliberate internal destruction of Venezuela was probably a practice run for what those communists are planning on doing to the US. (See Venezuela documentaries at places like [www.youtube.com](http://www.youtube.com)) (look for: “From riches to rags: Venezuela's economic crisis”.)

---

When “women's liberation” was first getting started, many men went along with it because they always “go with the flow” and they didn't like to “rock the boat”. But, now that everyone is so accustomed to it, few people really even give it much thought. And the old life-style has been completely forgotten. In most cases, men have really been deceived (brainwashed) into thinking that the custom of having female bosses and poli-

ticians **over men** is “moral”, “normal”, “noble”, “honorable” and the “gentlemanly” thing to do. What does being a “gentleman” or being “noble” have to do with giving away the role of leadership to a subordinate? Nothing!! It is not “moral”, God says it is **blasphemy!!!** (Titus 2:4,5) It is not noble! It shows a “go with the flow” weakness, immaturity and childishness! Why do they call it something that it is not? It is abnormal, and a disgrace to manliness. Why don't they face the truth of what it really is and that it is being propagated by liars who will one day take off their deceptive good-guy masks? (Remember the hamster and big dog mentioned above. Why can't women see the big dog?)

When personal problems arise as the consequences of this rebellion against God, those people who go around calling this rebellion “gentlemanly” and “noble” won't have the slightest clue as to what is causing their terrible problems. God makes the rules! When people go against God's rules, they will sooner or later have terrible problems. But especially, they will have the problem of facing God's judgment after this life. The “women's liberation” movement causes unhappy MCTs and is a **disadvantage** to women equally as much as an “employee's liberation” movement would be a **disadvantage** to employees, which would indeed cause the worst economic disaster in the history of the world.

Everyone who refuses to obey God's rules will, without a doubt, die someday and face God's judgment. Why do so many people live as though they will never die? (See article listed below on: Salvation.) **Heb. 9:27** “And as it is appointed unto men once to die, but after this the judgment:” **Rev 21:7-8** “He that overcometh shall inherit all things; and I will be his God, and he shall be my son. 8- But the fearful, and unbelieving, and the abominable, and murderers, and whoremongers, and sorcerers, and idolaters, and all liars, shall have their part in the lake which burneth with fire and brimstone: which is the second death.”

---

The real-life changes that took place during the “women's liberation” movement started due to an abundance of false teaching (satanic communist propaganda = brainwashing) that the position of women, in the previous way of life in the US, being inferior to the position of the men, was labeled as automatically abusive and oppressive to women. Supposedly, they wanted everyone to be equal in position. But, the real “abusiveness” and “oppression” were not really due to the differences in position, but rather the super low pay that laborers used to make in those days. (The women usually earned less than the men when they did the same type of unskilled work.) If those people really wanted to stop the “oppression” all that was necessary was to pay all the laborers a reasonable amount of money.

The people who started this false teaching (propaganda = brainwashing) were not really concerned about stopping “oppression”, they were interested in the anti-Christian downfall of the automatic male leadership over women. It was a movement

against true Christianity and normal human nature. The day is coming when those “wolves in sheep's clothing” who started the “women's liberation” movement are going to take off their deceptive good-guy masks and show what their **true** character really is: “abusive” and “oppressive”. They are the true abusers and oppressors. This modern arrangement has already been “abusive” and “oppressive” against men. (See article listed below on: Fatherhood.) In Russia, it has not only been “abusive” and “oppressive”, they have also murdered more innocent people than the Nazis did in WW2. Hitler was a small mass murderer as compared to Stalin. (See documentary on YouTube: The Soviet Story.)

The “women's liberation” movement is a deceptive lie and a **disadvantage** to women. It has ruined their marriages and family life the same way that an “employee's liberation” movement would be a **disadvantage** to employees and ruin almost every business in America. An “employee's liberation” movement would cause an economic crash worse than the Great Depression. Yet women can't figure out that the “women's liberation” movement has already ruined their marriages, romances and family lives.

---

There is an aspect in life in which every human being should be treated as equals; in respect of person, caring/love and common courtesy. But, “absolute equality” **cannot** be applied to the leadership position. How is it that so many people can't seem to understand that “absolute equality” in position is absurd and impossible?! In regard to leadership positions in every human organization, including the family, all human beings are **not and cannot be** equal. The positions that people hold in society could not possibly be equal. All human organizations, including the family, **must** have leadership! When a husband refuses to do the leading, the wife automatically takes charge.

Even the human body itself has a “head”! Should the other parts of the human body be considered “abused”, “disrespected” and “oppressed” because they are not the “head”? Certainly not! What if the entire human body was just one great big “head”? How could it walk? How could it physically handle things with no hands? How could it move around with no legs? How could it function normally? .... It couldn't! It couldn't even feed itself.

Each part of the human body was intended for a specific function. Likewise, women and men were each created by God with a specific function in society and in family life. When people confuse those normal roles they get problems that will never be resolved until they change it back by giving women and men their correct roles. If there was an “employee's liberation” movement which put employees as bosses in businesses (in the name of “equality”), it would cause many problems because those employees don't belong there any more than a foot belongs on the end of an arm. A foot belongs on the end of a leg, not arm. Regardless of the fact that the foot has to be on the bottom, in the lowest position, that's what it was made for, and that's how it will function best, it does not function properly as a hand.

If the type of “absolute equality” that is commonly applied in marriages was applied to the work place in businesses between management and employees, almost every business in the US would collapse. It would cause the biggest economic disaster in the history of the world. How is it possible that the employees could be the leaders and decision makers “equally” as much as the management? Most common laborers are incompetent managers. The laborers do not belong as managers. And likewise, women do not belong as bosses of men. Or..... how could a business survive if all the employees were doing whatever they wanted? How could a marriage survive if the wife was doing whatever she wanted? ..... It couldn't!

Is there anyone who would suggest having two equal presidents of the US or two equal CEO's in a company? ..... How absurd!!! How is it possible that such a ludicrous departure from rational thinking could be so well accepted concerning marriage in modern society? This type of “absolute equality” would produce conflict, chaos and stalemates! Now that “conflict”, “deadlocks” (stalemates) and “chaos” are a regular part of most marriages and families in America, nobody seems to know why. How is it that people can't understand that it is irrational for wives to expect to be equal decision makers as their husbands.

But, now that it has already had enough time to take its full swing, the truth is that most marriages in America have the wife dominating her husband. (Which is witchcraft and blasphemy against God, which the churches won't even recognize.) There is no middle ground, it's one way or the other. This “absolute equality” is an irrational, low intelligence propaganda lie. It has no other purpose than to get a young inexperienced husband to step down and turn his leadership over to his wife.

The “women's liberation” movement was a **disadvantage** to women equally as much as an “employee's liberation” movement would be a **disadvantage** to employees and also equally as much as a “children's liberation” movement would be a **disadvantage** to children. Remember the hamster and big dog mentioned above.

The leadership position is, by nature, a superior position than the follower position. Superior positions and inferior positions are a fact of life that cannot be avoided. Bosses at work are in a more superior position than the workers' positions. But, does that imply the automatic abusiveness of bosses to workers? .... Certainly not! (In other countries where employers always pay workers very little, the workers might think that bosses are always abusive and oppressive..... but it doesn't need to be that way.) Parents are in a more superior position than their children, but does that imply the automatic abusiveness of parents to children? ..... Certainly not! Most parents like to spoil their children. (This does not imply that spoiling children is good for them. Children need to be taught quality character traits.)

The old custom of men always being in superior positions to women is **not** automatically abusive! Quite to the contrary, most men liked to spoil their wives back in those days. And most women love it when men are strong, loving and **good** leaders. Most women love it when a man is in the leadership position and treats them in a kind, loving and courteous manner. Is it more romantic for a woman to buy her own flowers

or for a man to buy flowers for her?

Before “women's liberation”, the real abusiveness was not concerning the position, but rather the extremely low pay that all the laborers used to make back in those days. The female laborers usually made even less money than the male laborers when they did the same type of unskilled work. (Remember, women used to get pregnant much more often back then.) Many times the laborers were also divided into sections, that is, a woman's section with a female supervisor and a man's section with a male supervisor. (See article listed below on: The Role Of Women Throughout History.)

In modern times, laborers are paid a reasonable wage in the US, and also in many other countries. The distinction of work positions is now no longer a thing concerning abusive low pay, but rather, the question of whether or not someone can make an abundance of money, have a well respected profession or rise to a higher social class (and become snobs). In fact, it is very common in the US, nowadays, that beginning level managers are earning less money than the people they are supervising.

Generally speaking, who's happier in life? ..... the miserable people in high positions or the people in low positions who receive reasonable pay? Is it not true that there are many unhappy business managers and politicians, who make lots of money? They are never happy with their pay. They always want more, always want more, always want more. No amount is ever enough. Is it not true that there are many happy common laborers who receive reasonable wages?

Most of the managers, business owners and politicians are always trying to get into higher positions and make more money. They're usually not content with what they have. They always want more, always more, always more. Sad to say, those people who think they have “made it” to what they thought would make them the happiest are usually the least happy people of all. Many times they are downright miserable. Sometimes they even express hostile resentment towards the laborers who seem to be happier than they are. And sometimes they even deliberately cause extreme trouble for those people, because they can't stand it when they are so happy.

True happiness is a gift from God. Obedience to God is what produces real happiness, not abundance of money or social prestige (becoming snobs). (Prov. 30:7-9) Php. 3:8 “Yea doubtless, and I count all things *but* loss for the excellency of the knowledge of Christ Jesus my Lord: for whom I have suffered the loss of all things, and do count them *but* dung, that I may win Christ,” Mat 16:26 “For what is a man profited, if he shall gain the whole world, and lose his own soul? or what shall a man give in exchange for his soul?” Mat 6:19-21 “Lay not up for yourselves treasures upon earth, where moth and rust doth corrupt, and where thieves break through and steal: 20- But lay up for yourselves treasures in heaven, where neither moth nor rust doth corrupt, and where thieves do not break through nor steal: 21- For where your treasure is, there will your heart be also.” Mat 11:28-30 “Come unto me, all ye that labor and are heavy laden, and I will give you rest. 29- Take my yoke upon you, and learn of me; for

I am meek and lowly in heart: and ye shall find rest unto your souls. 30- For my yoke is easy, and my burden is light.” [Gal 5:22,23](#) “But the fruit of the Spirit is love, joy, peace, longsuffering, gentleness, goodness, faith, 23- Meekness, temperance: against such there is no law.” (See article listed below on: The Virtues of the Spirit.)

---

The present day modern social system, especially in regard to family and marriage, is, by its very nature, corrupt and was deliberately designed by satanic people to ruin marriage and family life. In fact, it is so perverted that the entire social system will collapse all by itself due to its own corruption, like how the Titanic sank when it hit an iceberg. In fact, it is so corrupt that it will sink without even hitting an iceberg.

Maybe it will fall like how the trumpets brought down the large walls around the city of Jericho. God had ordered the nation of Israel to attack and destroy the city of Jericho, because of its corruption. God had told them beforehand that when they sound the trumpets, the walls would fall down all by themselves. [Joshua 6:20](#) “So the people shouted when the priests blew with the trumpets: and it came to pass, when the people heard the sound of the trumpet, and the people shouted with a great shout, that the wall fell down flat, so that the people went up into the city, every man straight before him, and they took the city.” In those days the city walls were their protection against attacking armies. Can you imagine how many of them pooped their pants when they saw their own walls fall down like that, and then watched a million-man army attack them, rushing straight into the city?

What is the best thing we could compare it to? How about the economic crash of 1929? That economic situation was arranged in an unstable manner..... hence, it fell. But, this modern “feminism” can’t be compared to something typical..... like a normal stable type of building constructed well enough to stand strong in an earthquake zone, which stands unharmed in an average earthquake, but may fall down in an extremely bad earthquake. This modern “feminism” is more comparable to an unstable building constructed by an unskilled novice engineer in a non-earthquake zone, which even a good wind could knock it over. (This sounds like the engineer who made my 2004 Toshiba laptop. The screen hinges were made so unstable that they broke, so that the screen couldn’t stand up correctly. The screen didn’t fall off, but I had to always put something behind it to hold it up. That engineer was probably a beginner, who didn’t know what he was doing.)

And, this modern “feminism” is **NOT** comparable to the champion gladiator Goliath, who fell. Goliath was **not** unstable. Goliath was a true champion gladiator. But, he blasphemed God..... so God sent the child David to knock him down. ([1Sam 17](#)) So..... is “women’s liberation” a stable arrangement, which requires a good force to knock it down? Or, is it an unstable arrangement, that will easily fall when a little wind passes by? The truth is that “women’s liberation” is **so** unstable that, all this time, has had to be artificially supported so that it wouldn’t fall. It’s just like my old 2004 Toshiba laptop that the screen couldn’t stand up without some sort of external support

to hold it up. (Since then, Toshiba has fixed this problem.)

It's kind of like in the old comedy movie where a man (Harpo Marx) was standing around, leaning up against a wall. (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dCQCBmcPI2U>) Then, a policeman came along and asked him, "what do you think you're doing, holding up the building?" But after Harpo nodded yes, then the policeman pulled him away from the building..... and then the building fell down. It is the **men** who have to constantly and intentionally keep feminism from falling. Yet, the very nature of "women's liberation" is a disgrace to manhood. Without regular **male** support, "women's liberation" cannot stand! Most men are accustomed to sugar-coating it by calling it something that it is not. They always have to fool themselves into thinking that they're doing something good for women.

Feminism is a reverse catch-22. The whole thing is a super disgrace to manhood. So, most men don't want to admit that it really is what it really is. Since there's nothing they can do to stop it, they end up supporting it by calling it something that it really is not. (They say it is "noble" to help women excel.) In the end, the whole thing is being maintained by men who refuse to admit that it's a disgrace to manhood.

However, there are certain aspects of this "feminism" which the average man is not aware of. When a woman is put as a boss over men, there is a certain type of man that they like to cause trouble for. It is human nature that those women bosses can sense the presents of a man who recognizes the disgrace to manhood that is caused by "feminism". These are rare cases of men who don't want to cooperate with modern "feminism", but they don't know what to do about it. Well..... the other men are not aware that women bosses like to agitate this special type of man. As compared to the most common type of man who has been trained from childhood to go along with "feminism". After all, if they didn't, what would happen to them? Would they go to jail? No. But, somebody might call them names. Everyone would think they were a villain. Well, it seems that this fate has a stronger effect on men than going to jail.

Actually, opposing this "feminist" movement is very similar to how the black people opposed racial discrimination back in the 60's. Modern "feminism" is discrimination against men. Can you imagine, during the anti-racist movement of the 60's, when the black people were protesting racial discrimination. What if they sugar-coated the discrimination like how men do now, calling it something noble or gentlemanly by letting the ladies go first? Remember how the blacks had to sit in the back of the public buses. (...and many other similar injustices.) This isn't the same thing as a man being a gentleman by letting the ladies go first. This is forced defeat by ordering the men to submit to female domination, which is witchcraft. This whole thing is Satanic anti-Christian witchcraft.

In family life, the men have no say at all in control over their own children, by law in America. The mother can leave her husband and take the children and then charge the husband with paying gigantic amounts of money for what is incorrectly called "child-support". In some states they have to pay alimony, too. The purpose of that

money is to break male leadership. In most states “alimony” has been discontinued due to its obvious injustice to men, especially when a man goes to work and has a woman for a boss. How far does injustice have to go before men will stand up and protest? New unions could be formed. Men don’t have to work with a woman boss if they formed their own union. Many years ago they rarely ever put a woman as a boss over men. The reason was that women used to be noted for being super emotional, fickle and irrational.

But, if that was true, then why don’t we see that now? And, what is it that makes women super emotional, fickle and irrational? What is it? The nature of women is that they get very emotional and unstable when they are around men who act like real men. But, modern men have been trained from early childhood not to act like real men. Modern feminism is on the agenda, and it is **taboo** to not follow along or say anything against it. Feminism teaches women to act masculine, and it teaches men to not act masculine. In most cases, men don’t grow up inside. They act more like little boys. Their growth has been stifled by this modern “feminism”.

---

In some cases this “alimony” motivates some men to avoid registering their marriage at all. By never being officially “married” they will never have to go through the injustice of paying “alimony” to a wife who deserves punishment, not reward for bad behavior. Those unjust laws are actually promoting fornication. Those unjust laws are also motivating women to cause trouble. All of this is discrimination against men.

So..... how can the average man battle against this problem? Well first, they have to recognize its existence. Sugar-coating it by calling it something that it isn’t will never resolve the problem. If any man were to protest against this discrimination, the very first problem he would experience would be opposition from other men. All the other men are in the habit of calling this problem something that it is not. Even to recognize it for what it really is, is an offense.

Amazingly, the greatest opposition would not come from the women. Almost all women love a strong man who can show good character by standing up for justice. It must be recognized that the men who go along with this discrimination are indeed cowardly. You must call it what it really is. And, if women want a man who will truly act masculine, and not be cowards, then they must participate by openly disgracing the cowardly men who go along with this discrimination against men. The women should treat those cowardly men as though they have a sign stuck on their back that says “kick me” with an arrow pointing down to their rear end. Any man who openly supports “feminism” must be openly disgraced as cowards.

When women are exposed to truly masculine men, they get super emotional, fickle and irrational. In modern times, most women have never seen such a man. One thing that men should do is to refuse to accept a woman boss at work. When most women are exposed to a truly masculine man they get out of control, full of emotion.

They turn “love-sick”. However, the man must do it in a truly proper and loving way. (See article listed below on: The Virtues of the Spirit.)

---

Normally, if a man ever attempts to take the lead, he is automatically labeled a tyrant for not giving the women perfect liberty. Yet, when things go wrong, women blame men for not managing the situation correctly. They can figure out that when things go wrong the fault is with the manager, but at the same time they deny men the opportunity to be the manager, yet they blame the men for not fixing whatever problem they have. To this type of sick female thinking, every problem under the sun is the man’s fault. This is clearly mental illness. CRAZINESS!!! And, when the manager is a woman, they never get blamed the same way. It’s **taboo** to speak against feminism.

This thing (feminism) is so disgraceful to manhood that almost every man cannot admit that what is going on is really what is going on. So, they end up sweeping it under the rug by calling it something else. They call it something noble like being “a gentleman”, they think they’re treating women well. Whereas in reality, this is the same type of discrimination that was used against the black people for many years. But the men respond to it by sugar-coating it and calling it something that it is not.

But, inasmuch that women feel at liberty to mistreat the men in such a manner, they don’t deserve the good gentlemanly treatment that men usually give them. They deserve punishment, not gentlemanly treatment from the men. Many years ago it was common knowledge that women ought to receive discipline from men. (See article listed below on: Domestic Discipline.)

Can you imagine the black people sugar-coating this type of discrimination in this way by calling discrimination something good? Nothing would have ever changed. We would still be living with those conditions today. It is time for men to stand up and act like men. Ladies! Keep in mind that these men have been trained from early childhood to back down (like cowards) in this manner. Maybe this is the type of men that need a little extra help. But, if after receiving kind and gentle motivational advice they still persist in this irrational denial, perhaps women should try a different approach. They should treat this type of man like a coward. Any man who insists on treating this discrimination against men with false nobility by sugar-coating it and calling it something that it is not, should be openly disgraced.....

Men must face this discrimination and overcome it.

It’s always best to do this as a group, not as individuals; the men must unite and fight.

---

The “women's liberation” movement is not only irrational and crazy, it is cursed of God. This thing is **blasphemy** against the Word of God. It is a big fat Titanic! ..... And

rest assured, that baby's going down! The writing is on the wall!!! (Daniel 5) God will soon be making some serious changes. (See article on: The New World Order was Prophesied in the Bible.) Sad to say, most people just won't change their sinful ways until their Titanic is sinking. Most of the people on the Titanic never made it to the lifeboats. Jesus Christ is the eternal lifeboat for **everyone** who asks, both in this life and in the next life. There is no shortage of seats on his lifeboat. Getting on the lifeboat is how many people will turn to God! Then, many people will obey God, love God, and accept Jesus Christ as their savior. (See article listed below on: Salvation.)

When the "Titanic" is mentioned, most people take a light interpretation of its meaning. For whatever the reason, most people don't think about the fact that in the Titanic disaster, most of the people on the Titanic died when it went down. Am I really saying that when this "feminism" sinks, most people in the US will die? Yes! I say the disaster it causes will make the Great Depression look like small potatoes.

---

Recommended free Bible software:  
[www.e-sword.net](http://www.e-sword.net) or [www.theword.net](http://www.theword.net)

Also free Bible audio recordings at:  
[www.audiotreasure.com](http://www.audiotreasure.com)

---

e-mail: [pastor\\_david@tutamail.com](mailto:pastor_david@tutamail.com)

---

**OTHER ARTICLES at**  
[www.pastordavidministries.com](http://www.pastordavidministries.com)

**The Messiah for the Jews**

**The Trinity**

**The Holy Scriptures**

**The Lie of Evolution**

**What About Deborah**

**Fatherhood**

**The Role Of Women Throughout History**

**The Consequences of Using Incorrect Terminology**

**Domestic Discipline**

**The Lukewarm Church**

**Correct Divorce**

**Baptism**

**The Consequences of “Women's Liberation”**

**The New World Order was Prophesied in the Bible**

**Self-Love and Self-Esteem**

**Angels are Aliens, Aliens are Angels**

**Wolves In Sheep's Clothing**

**Slavery**

**The Virtues of the Spirit**

**The Babylonian and Egyptian Captivity**

**The Suffering Of The Great Depression**

**Salvation**

**Marriage Misunderstandings Explained**